

Common Extensions of
Positive Vector Measures

Klaus D. Schmidt and Gerd Waldschaks

93 - 1989

Common extensions of positive vector measures

Klaus D. Schmidt and Gerd Waldschaks, Mannheim

We prove a general theorem on the existence of a positive common extension of a family of positive vector measures in an order complete Riesz space. Our theorem gives an easy access to vector-valued versions of results due to Guy, Horn and Tarski, and Marczewski.

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision).

Primary 28B15; Secondary 47B55.

Key words and phrases. Positive vector measures, positive operators, common extensions of vector measures, and linear operators.

1. Introduction

The following result was proposed by Guy [3]:

1.1. Proposition.

Let Ω be a set, let M and N be algebras of subsets of Ω , and let $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\nu : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be positive additive set functions. Then the following are equivalent:

- (a) $\mu(A) \leq \nu(B)$ and $\nu(C) \leq \mu(D)$ holds for all $A, D \in M$ and $B, C \in N$ satisfying $A \subseteq B$ and $C \subseteq D$.
- (b) There exists a positive additive set function $\phi : 2^\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\phi(A) = \mu(A)$ for all $A \in M$ and $\phi(A) = \nu(A)$ for all $A \in N$.

This result is remarkable since it characterizes the existence of a positive common extension in terms of the set functions alone; by contrast, no such result is known in the case of bounded additive set functions, where some condition on the algebras seems to be indispensable; see Lipecki [8] and Schmidt and Waldschaks [12]. Moreover, Proposition 1.1 cannot be extended to more than two set functions; see Bhaskara Rao and Bhaskara Rao [2; Example 3.6.3].

Unfortunately, the proof of Proposition 1.1 given by Guy [3] is incorrect, as will be made precise in Section 4 of this paper, and the proofs given by Bhaskara Rao and Bhaskara Rao [2; Theorem 3.6.1] and Kindler [5,6] are rather extensive. In the present paper we prove a general extension theorem for families of positive vector measures which gives an easy access to vector-valued versions of Proposition 1.1 and results due to Horn and Tarski [4] and Marczewski [10,11].

Throughout this paper, let Ω be a set and let \mathbb{G} be an order complete Riesz space. Let us first recall some definitions and facts which will be needed in the sequel:

For a Riesz space \mathbb{H} , a linear operator $T : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is positive if $Tx \in \mathbb{G}_+$ holds for all $x \in \mathbb{H}_+$. For further details on Riesz spaces and linear operators, see [1].

For an algebra F of subsets of Ω , a vector measure $\varphi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is positive if it maps F into \mathbb{G}_+ . Let

$$\mathbb{E}(F) := \text{lin} \{ \chi_A \mid A \in F \}$$

and define $\chi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(F)$ by letting

$$\chi(A) := \chi_A ,$$

where χ_A denotes the indicator function of $A \in F$. Then $\mathbb{E}(F)$ is a Riesz space with order unit χ_Ω , and χ is a positive vector measure. Moreover, each vector measure $\varphi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ defines its representing linear operator $T : \mathbb{E}(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$, given by

$$T \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \chi_{A_i} \right) := \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \varphi(A_i) ,$$

and each linear operator $T : \mathbb{E}(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ defines a vector measure $\varphi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$, given by

$$\varphi := T\chi .$$

Obviously, φ is positive if and only if T is positive.

2. Positive operators

The following extension theorem is a consequence of the Hahn-Banach theorem for linear operators; for a proof, see [1; Theorem 2.8]:

2.1. Proposition.

Let \mathbb{E} be a Riesz space with order unit $e \in \mathbb{E}_+$, let \mathbb{F} be a subspace of \mathbb{E} satisfying $e \in \mathbb{F}$, and let $S : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ be a positive operator. Then there exists a positive operator $T : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ satisfying $Tx = Sx$ for all $x \in \mathbb{F}$.

For a Riesz space \mathbb{E} and a family $\{\mathbb{E}_\delta \mid \delta \in \Delta\}$ of subspaces of \mathbb{E} , let $\Phi(\mathbb{E}_\delta \mid \delta \in \Delta)$ denote the collection of all families $\{x_\delta \in \mathbb{E}_\delta \mid \delta \in \Delta\}$ satisfying $x_\delta \neq 0$ for at most finitely many $\delta \in \Delta$. A family $\{T_\delta : \mathbb{E}_\delta \rightarrow \mathbb{G} \mid \delta \in \Delta\}$ of linear operators has a common extension if there exists a linear operator $T : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ satisfying $Tx = T_\delta x$ for all $\delta \in \Delta$ and $x \in \mathbb{E}_\delta$.

2.2. Theorem.

Let \mathbb{E} be a Riesz space with order unit $e \in \mathbb{E}_+$ and let $\{\mathbb{E}_\delta \mid \delta \in \Delta\}$ be a family of subspaces of \mathbb{E} satisfying $e \in \bigcap_{\delta \in \Delta} \mathbb{E}_\delta$. For a family $\{T_\delta : \mathbb{E}_\delta \rightarrow \mathbb{G} \mid \delta \in \Delta\}$ of positive operators, the following are equivalent:

- (a) $\sum_{\delta \in \Delta} T_\delta x_\delta \in \mathbb{G}_+$ holds for each family $\{x_\delta\} \in \Phi(\mathbb{E}_\delta \mid \delta \in \Delta)$ satisfying $\sum_{\delta \in \Delta} x_\delta \in \mathbb{E}_+$.
- (b) The family $\{T_\delta\}$ has a positive common extension $T : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that (a) implies (b).

Define $\mathbb{F} := \text{lin}(\bigcup_{\Delta} \mathbb{E}_{\delta})$. Then the mapping $S : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$, given by

$$Sx := \sum_{\Delta} T_{\delta} x_{\delta}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{F}$ and arbitrary $\{x_{\delta}\} \in \Phi(\mathbb{E}_{\delta} \mid \delta \in \Delta)$ satisfying $x = \sum_{\Delta} x_{\delta}$, is well-defined and linear, and it is also positive.

Now the assertion follows from Proposition 2.1. □

Theorem 2.2 is due to Maharam [9].

3. Positive vector measures

For a family $\{ F_\delta \mid \delta \in \Delta \}$ of algebras of subsets of Ω , a family $\{ \varphi_\delta : F_\delta \rightarrow \mathbb{G} \mid \delta \in \Delta \}$ of vector measures has a common extension if there exists a vector measure $\varphi : 2^\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ satisfying $\varphi(A) = \varphi_\delta(A)$ for all $\delta \in \Delta$ and $A \in F_\delta$.

3.1. Theorem.

Let $\{ F_\delta \mid \delta \in \Delta \}$ be a family of algebras of subsets of Ω . For a family $\{ \varphi_\delta : F_\delta \rightarrow \mathbb{G} \mid \delta \in \Delta \}$ of positive vector measures, the following are equivalent:

- (a)
$$\sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_{\delta(i)}(A_i) \leq \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+n} \varphi_{\delta(i)}(A_i) \text{ holds for}$$
 all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, all $A_1, \dots, A_{m+n} \in \bigcup_{\Delta} F_\delta$ satisfying
$$\sum_{i=1}^m \chi_{A_i} \leq \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+n} \chi_{A_i}$$
, and all $\delta(1), \dots, \delta(m+n) \in \Delta$ satisfying $A_i \in F_{\delta(i)}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m+n\}$.
- (b) The family $\{\varphi_\delta\}$ has a positive common extension $\varphi : 2^\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that (a) implies (b). For all $\delta \in \Delta$, define $\mathbb{E}_\delta := \mathbb{E}(F_\delta)$ and let $T_\delta : \mathbb{E}_\delta \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ denote the representing linear operator of φ_δ . We claim that

$$0 \leq \sum_{\Delta} T_\delta g_\delta$$

holds for each family $\{g_\delta\} \in \Phi(\mathbb{E}_\delta \mid \delta \in \Delta)$ satisfying $0 \leq \sum_{\Delta} g_\delta$. Indeed, this is obvious for families of simple functions taking their values in \mathbb{Z} , by the assumption on $\{\varphi_\delta\}$, and hence for families of simple functions taking their values in \mathbb{Q} . Consider now an arbitrary family $\{g_\delta\} \in \Phi(\mathbb{E}_\delta \mid \delta \in \Delta)$ satisfying $0 \leq \sum_{\Delta} g_\delta$, and let m denote the number of $\delta \in \Delta$ for which $g_\delta \neq 0$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta \in \Delta$ choose $g_{\delta,k} \in \mathbb{E}_\delta$ such that

each $g_{\delta,k}$ takes its values in \mathbb{Q} and satisfies $g_{\delta,k} = 0$ if $g_{\delta} = 0$ and

$$g_{\delta,k} - \frac{1}{km} \chi_{\Omega} \leq g_{\delta} \leq g_{\delta,k} .$$

Then we have, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$0 \leq \sum_{\Delta} g_{\delta,k}$$

and hence

$$0 \leq \sum_{\Delta} T_{\delta} g_{\delta,k} \leq \sum_{\Delta} T_{\delta} g_{\delta} + \frac{1}{k} T \chi_{\Omega} .$$

Since \mathbb{G} is order complete and hence Archimedean, we obtain

$$0 \leq \sum_{\Delta} T_{\delta} g_{\delta} ,$$

which proves our claim. Define now $\mathbb{E} := \mathbb{E}(2^{\Omega})$. By what we have shown and Theorem 2.2, the family $\{T_{\delta}\}$ has a positive common extension $T : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$, and it is then clear that the vector measure $\varphi : 2^{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$, given by

$$\varphi := T \circ \chi ,$$

is a positive common extension of the family $\{\varphi_{\delta}\}$. □

In the case $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{R}$, Theorem 3.1 is equivalent to a result of Lembcke [7].

As a consequence of Theorem 3.1 we obtain the following vector-valued version of Proposition 1.1:

3.2. Corollary.

Let F_1 and F_2 be algebras of subsets of Ω . For positive vector measures $\varphi_1 : F_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ and $\varphi_2 : F_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$, the following are equivalent:

- (a) $\varphi_i(A_i) \leq \varphi_j(A_j)$ holds for all $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ and all $A_i \in F_i$ and $A_j \in F_j$ satisfying $A_i \subseteq A_j$.
- (b) φ_1 and φ_2 have a positive common extension $\varphi : 2^{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$.

Proof. Consider $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A_1, \dots, A_{m+n} \in F_1 \cup F_2$ satisfying

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \chi_{A_i} \leq \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+n} \chi_{\bar{A}_i}$$

and hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m+n} \chi_{A_i} \leq n\chi_{\Omega} .$$

For $i \in \{1, \dots, m+n\}$, define

$$C_i := \begin{cases} A_i & , \text{ if } A_i \in F_1 \\ \emptyset & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$D_i := A_i \setminus C_i .$$

Define now

$$g := \sum_{i=1}^{m+n} \chi_{C_i} \quad \text{and} \quad h := \sum_{i=1}^{m+n} \chi_{D_i} .$$

Then we have $g \in \mathbb{E}(F_1)$ and $h \in \mathbb{E}(F_2)$, and $g + h \leq n\chi_{\Omega}$.

For $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, define

$$M_k := \{ \omega \in \Omega \mid k\chi_{\Omega}(\omega) \leq g(\omega) \}$$

and

$$N_k := \{ \omega \in \Omega \mid k\chi_{\Omega}(\omega) \leq n\chi_{\Omega}(\omega) - h(\omega) \}$$

Then we have $M_k \in F_1$ and $N_k \in F_2$, and we also have $M_k \subseteq N_k$

and thus

$$\varphi_1(M_k) \leq \varphi_2(N_k) .$$

Using

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m+n} \chi_{C_i} = g = \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_{M_k}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \chi_{N_k} = n\chi_{\Omega} - h = n\chi_{\Omega} - \sum_{i=1}^{m+n} \chi_{D_i} ,$$

and the previous inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{m+n} \varphi_1(C_i) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi_1(M_k) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi_2(N_k) \\ &= n\varphi_2(\Omega) - \sum_{i=1}^{m+n} \varphi_2(D_i) \end{aligned} ,$$

hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m+n} (\varphi_1(C_i) + \varphi_2(D_i)) \leq n\varphi_2(\Omega) ,$$

whence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m+n} \varphi_{j(i)}(A_i) \leq n\varphi_2(\Omega) = n\varphi_1(\Omega) ,$$

and thus

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_{j(i)}(A_i) \leq \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+n} \varphi_{j(i)}(\bar{A}_i) ,$$

for all $j(1), \dots, j(m+n) \in \{1, 2\}$ satisfying $A_i \in F_{j(i)}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m+n\}$. The assertion now follows from

Theorem 3.1. □

We now record two further applications of Theorem 3.1:

3.3. Corollary.

Let \mathcal{C} be a collection of subsets of Ω satisfying $\emptyset, \Omega \in \mathcal{C}$.

If $\zeta : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is a set function such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \zeta(C_i) \leq \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+n} \zeta(C_i)$$

holds for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C_1, \dots, C_{m+n} \in \mathcal{C}$ satisfying

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \chi_{C_i} \leq \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+n} \chi_{C_i} ,$$

then there exists a positive vector measure $\varphi : 2^\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$

satisfying $\varphi(C) = \zeta(C)$ for all $C \in \mathcal{C}$.

Proof. For each $C \in \mathcal{C}$, let F_C denote the algebra generated by C and define a positive vector measure $\varphi_C : F_C \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ by letting $\varphi_C(C) := \zeta(C)$ and $\varphi_C(\bar{C}) := \zeta(\Omega) - \zeta(C)$; note that the assumption on ζ yields $\varphi_C(\bar{C}) = \zeta(\bar{C})$ for all $C \in \mathcal{C}$ satisfying $\bar{C} \in \mathcal{C}$. Consider $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A_1, \dots, A_{m+n} \in \bigcup_C F_C$ satisfying

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \chi_{A_i} \leq \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+n} \chi_{\bar{A}_i}$$

and thus

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m+n} \chi_{A_i} \leq n\chi_{\Omega}.$$

Relabelling the A_i if necessary, we obtain

$$A_1, \dots, A_p, \bar{A}_{p+1}, \dots, \bar{A}_{m+n} \in \mathcal{C}$$

for some $p \in \{0, 1, \dots, m+n\}$. Then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \chi_{A_i} + (m+n-p)\chi_{\Omega} \leq \sum_{i=p+1}^{m+n} \chi_{\bar{A}_i} + n\chi_{\Omega},$$

hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \zeta(A_i) + (m+n-p)\zeta(\Omega) \leq \sum_{i=p+1}^{m+n} \zeta(\bar{A}_i) + n\zeta(\Omega),$$

whence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m+n} \varphi_{C(i)}(A_i) \leq n\zeta(\Omega),$$

and thus

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_{C(i)}(A_i) \leq \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+n} \varphi_{C(i)}(\bar{A}_i),$$

for all $C(1), \dots, C(m+n) \in \mathcal{C}$ satisfying $A_i \in F_{C(i)}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m+n\}$. The assertion now follows from Theorem 3.1 \square

In the case $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{R}$, Corollary 3.3 is due to Horn and Tarski [4]; see also Lembcke [7].

3.4. Corollary.

Let \mathcal{C} be a collection of subsets of Ω such that

$$\left(\bigcap_{\mathcal{D}} D \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{\mathcal{E}} \bar{E} \right) \neq \emptyset$$

holds for any two disjoint finite subcollections \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{C} .

If $\zeta : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is a set function which maps \mathcal{C} into an order bounded subset of \mathbb{G}_+ , then there exists a positive vector measure $\varphi : 2^\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ satisfying $\varphi(C) = \zeta(C)$ for all $C \in \mathcal{C}$.

Proof. Let $u := \sup_{\mathcal{C}} \zeta(C)$. For each $C \in \mathcal{C}$, let F_C denote the algebra generated by C and define a positive vector measure $\varphi_C : F_C \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ by letting

$$\varphi_C(C) := \zeta(C) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_C(\bar{C}) := u - \zeta(C).$$

Consider $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A_1, \dots, A_{m+n} \in \bigcup_{\mathcal{C}} F_C$ satisfying

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \chi_{A_i} \leq \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+n} \chi_{\bar{A}_i}$$

and thus

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m+n} \chi_{A_i} \leq n \chi_\Omega.$$

We now reduce the previous inequality by subtracting

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{A_i} &= 0 && \text{if } A_i = \emptyset, \\ \chi_{A_i} &= \chi_\Omega && \text{if } A_i = \Omega, \text{ and} \\ \chi_{A_i} + \chi_{A_j} &= \chi_\Omega && \text{if } A_i \text{ and } A_j \text{ are complementary.} \end{aligned}$$

Relabelling the A_i if necessary,

we thus obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p+q} \chi_{A_i} \leq k \chi_\Omega,$$

for suitable $p, q, k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ satisfying $p+q \leq m+n$ and $k \leq n$ as well as

$$A_1, \dots, A_p, \bar{A}_{p+1}, \dots, \bar{A}_{p+q} \in \mathcal{C}.$$

By the assumption on C , we have

$$\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^p A_i \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=p+1}^{p+q} A_i \right) \neq \emptyset .$$

This yields $p+q \leq k$, hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p+q} \varphi_{C(i)}(A_i) \leq (p+q)\varphi_{C(i)}(\Omega) \leq ku ,$$

whence, reversing the previous reduction,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m+n} \varphi_{C(i)}(A_i) \leq nu ,$$

and thus

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_{C(i)}(A_i) \leq \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+n} \varphi_{C(i)}(\bar{A}_i) ,$$

for all $C(1), \dots, C(m+n) \in C$ satisfying $A_i \in F_{C(i)}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m+n\}$. The assertion now follows from Theorem 3.1. \square

In the case $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{R}$, Corollary 3.4 is due to Marczewski [10,11]; see also Lembcke [7].

4. Remark

The following example shows that the proof of Proposition 1.1 given by Guy [3] is incorrect:

In the notation of [3], define $X := [0, 1)$ as well as $F_1 := [0, \frac{1}{2})$, $F_2 := [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, $G_1 := [0, \frac{1}{3})$, $G_2 := [\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$, $G_3 := [\frac{2}{3}, 1)$, let R and S denote the algebras generated by the sets F_1, F_2 and G_1, G_2, G_3 , respectively, let $\lambda : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\mu : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the restrictions of the Lebesgue measure to these algebras, and define $N := 2$ and $M := 3$ as well as $a_1 := -1$, $a_2 := -1$, $b_1 := 1$, $b_2 := 1$, $b_3 := 1$. With these definitions, the final equality in the formula following (12) is false, and this is also true for the inequality by which it may be replaced.

References

- [1] Aliprantis, C.D., and Burkinshaw, O.:
Positive Operators.
New York - London: Academic Press 1985.
- [2] Bhaskara Rao, K.P.S., and Bhaskara Rao, M.:
Theory of Charges.
New York - London: Academic Press 1983.
- [3] Guy, D.L.:
Common extensions of finitely additive probability
measures.
Portugaliae Math. 20, 1-5 (1961).
- [4] Horn, A., and Tarski, A.:
Measures in Boolean algebras.
Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 64, 467-497 (1948).
- [5] Kindler, J.:
A Mazur-Orlicz type theorem for submodular set
functions.
J. Math. Anal. Appl. 120, 533-546 (1986).
- [6] Kindler, J.:
Supermodular and tight set functions.
Math. Nachr. 134, 131-147 (1987).
- [7] Lembcke, J.:
Gemeinsame Urbilder endlich additiver Inhalte.
Math. Ann. 198, 239-258 (1972).
- [8] Lipecki, Z.:
On common extensions of two quasi-measures.
Czech. Math. J. 36 (111), 489-494 (1986).
- [9] Maharam, D.:
Consistent extension of linear functionals and
of probability measures.
In: Proc. Sixth Berkeley Symp. Math. Stat. Probab.,
vol. 2, pp. 127-147.
Berkeley: University of California Press (1972).
- [10] Marczewski, E.:
Indépendance d'ensembles et prolongement de mesures.
Coll. Math. 1, 122-132 (1947-48).
- [11] Marczewski, E.:
Ensembles indépendants et leurs applications à la
théorie de la mesure.
Fund. Math. 35, 13-28 (1948).
- [12] Schmidt, K.D., and Waldschaks, G.:
Common extensions of order bounded vector measures.
Preprint (1989).

Authors' address:

Fakultät für Mathematik und Informatik
Universität Mannheim
A 5
6800 Mannheim
West Germany