

**HEALTH INEQUALITIES BY EDUCATION, INCOME,
AND WEALTH:
A COMPARISON OF 11 EUROPEAN COUNTRIES
AND THE US**

Hendrik Jürges

140-2007

Health inequalities by education, income, and wealth: a comparison of 11 European countries and the US

Hendrik Jürges
MEA, University of Mannheim
L13,17
68131 Mannheim
Germany
Tel +49-621-181-3519
Fax +49-621-181-1863
Email: juerges@mea.uni-mannheim.de

December 2006

Abstract: I compare education-, income-, and wealth-related health inequality using data from 11 European countries and the US. The health distributions in the US, England and France are relatively unequal independent of the stratifying variable, while Switzerland or Austria always have relatively equal distributions. Some countries such as Italy dramatically change ranks depending on the stratifying variable.

Keywords: Socioeconomic inequality in health; concentration index; cross-country analysis

JEL-Codes: I12, I21, J14

1. Introduction

Reducing health inequality is one of the main aims of any health care system, and health inequalities are regularly used to compare of health system performances (WHO, 2000). Combining data from HRS 2002, ELSA 2002 and SHARE 2004, I compare socio-economic inequalities in the physical health of the older population across 11 European countries and the US. The specific aim of this study is to examine how robust country rankings in terms of health inequality are with respect to the choice of the social stratification variable: education, current income, and wealth.

2. Methods

I use the concentration index as a measure of socio-economic health inequality (Kakwani et al., 1997). Let y_i be a continuous health index, where higher values mean better health and R_i the fractional education (or income or wealth) rank of individual i , then the concentration index C is defined as

$$C = \frac{2}{\bar{y}} \text{Cov}(y_i, R_i) \quad (1)$$

C can be positive or negative, depending on whether inequalities favor individuals of higher or lower socio-economic status. C is related to the Gini coefficient G , which measures "pure" health inequality. G can be computed in the same way as C with R_i being the fractional health rank. C is always smaller than the G except when the health and the socio-economic rank are perfectly correlated.

When comparing C across countries, one often wishes to control for differences in the demographic composition of the populations. The argument is that sex and age effects on

health are partly biologically determined and thus outside the realm of health policy. I thus only report age-sex standardized concentration indices. I first estimate by OLS a linear regression of health

$$y_i = \alpha + \beta \times SES_i + \sum_{k=2}^K \gamma_k \times D_{ki} + \varepsilon_i, \quad (2)$$

where SES_i denotes the stratifying variable and D_{ki} are Dummy-Variables for age-sex groups. Age-sex standardized ("avoidable") inequality I^* is then computed as

$$I^* = C - \frac{2}{\bar{y}} \sum_{k=2}^K \hat{\gamma}_k Cov(D_{ki}, R_i). \quad (3)$$

I^* only deviates from C if age and sex have a (partial) effect on health ($\hat{\gamma}_k \neq 0$) and if age and sex are correlated with education ($Cov(D_{ki}, R_i) \neq 0$).¹

In the following, I use sampling weights provided with my data to compute weighted concentration indices. Standard errors for C and I^* are then computed by bootstrap with 1000 repetitions.

3. Data

The US Health and Retirement Study (HRS), the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing (ELSA) and the Survey of Health, Ageing and Retirement in Europe (SHARE) are multi-disciplinary surveys of the older population, containing comparable information on the health, income, and wealth of individuals aged 50 and over.² The countries participating in SHARE

¹ The method to compute I^* is based on the decomposition formula suggested in Wagstaff et al. (2003).

² I use the 2002 wave extracted from the RAND HRS data file, which was developed at RAND with funding from the National Institute on Aging and the Social Security

and the sizes of my working samples are shown in Table 1. In the following, I describe the measurement of the key variables.

<about here Table 1>

3.1 Health

Following Jürges (2007), I use a continuous physical health index which is based on a set of detailed "objective" health information, such as chronic conditions, functional, ADL and IADL limitations. This index ranges from 0 to 1; higher values represent better health. In this paper I use a set of 7 chronic conditions and 17 limitations to compute the index. The absence of any conditions or limitations implies an index value of 1. The presence of a condition reduces the health index by some given percentage (the disability weight). Disability weights are computed from within the combined HRS, ELSA, and SHARE samples by estimating generalized ordered probit regressions of self-reported health on the set dummy variables indicating the presence of each of the conditions and limitations. The health index is equal to the linear prediction from this regression, normalized to the unit interval.

Administration. HRS, ELSA and SHARE are described by Juster and Suzman (1995), Marmot et al (2003), and Börsch-Supan et al. (2005), respectively. The youngest sampled HRS cohort in 2002 was born in 1947; individuals younger than 55 were thus excluded from the ELSA and SHARE samples, too.

3.2 Education, income, and wealth

I use the ISCED-97 classification (OECD, 1999) of the highest degree as measure of education. The seven original education levels are recoded into four broader categories: "low" (pre-primary and primary education; ISCED 0 to 1), "medium" (lower secondary education; ISCED 2), "high" (upper secondary and post-secondary, non-tertiary education; ISCED 3 and 4), and "very high" (first and second stage of tertiary education; ISCED 5 and 6).

HRS, SHARE, and ELSA contain detailed information on income sources and assets on the individual and the household level. I use equivalent annual household income (mainly labor income, public pensions, and income from assets) in the preceding year as income measure. Household net worth is computed as the current value of all financial and real assets minus liabilities (and excluding pension wealth). As with income, I also use equivalent net worth as measure of wealth. Equivalent income and wealth levels are computed as household income and wealth divided by the square root of the number of persons in the household.

4. Results

Age-sex standardized Gini coefficients for pure health inequality and concentration indices for education-, income-, and wealth-related inequalities in health are shown in Table 2. Countries are listed in ascending order of pure health inequality. The Gini coefficients are in the range of 7 to 11 percent and significantly different from zero in all countries, i.e. health is unequally distributed everywhere. The order of countries in Table 2 also reflects a geographic pattern. At the bottom half of the table one finds Mediterranean countries (France, Spain, Italy, Greece) and Anglo-Saxon countries (US, England), while Nordic countries (Denmark, Sweden) and Western European countries (Netherlands, Germany, Austria, Switzerland) are

at the top half. Pairwise t-tests show significant differences between the Gini coefficients of most country pairs. Exceptions are neighboring countries in terms of inequality: the Netherlands and Sweden, Denmark and Germany, and so on.

<about here Table 2>

Age-sex standardized concentration indices for socio-economic inequalities in health are almost always positive and statistically different from zero. The only exceptions are income-related inequalities in Austria and Switzerland. Thus, in all twelve countries, and independent of the stratification variable, inequalities in health favor individuals of higher socio-economic status. The all-country averages of the concentration indices are 1.6 percent for education, 1.1 percent for income, and 2.2 percent for wealth.

Table 3 summarizes the information in Table 2 in a different format. Countries are classified by whether estimated health inequalities are significantly smaller or larger than the *all-country average*. This helps comparing country rankings based on different social stratification variables. A group of three countries (Netherlands, Sweden, and Switzerland) have lower than average pure health inequality, while a group of five (the Anglo-Saxon and Mediterranean countries except France) have higher than average pure inequalities.

The classification based on education-related inequalities is remarkably different: Germany is the only country with a smaller than average concentration index. In the large inequality group, there is less change. England, Italy, and the US remain in this group, and France joins this group. In fact, France is the country with the second largest education-related health inequality. Substantial changes to the classification are again found when one examines income-related inequalities in health. Italy, among the countries with the largest pure health

inequality, is now in the group of countries with the smallest income-related inequality. The two countries with significantly larger than average income-related health inequality are again France and the US.

As a stratifying variable, household wealth might be preferable to education and current income. Current income only provides a snapshot view susceptible to transitory fluctuations (although for pensioners this is likely to be a smaller problem than for workers), whereas education is a rather crude indicator of life-time resources. In fact, wealth-related inequalities in health are larger than education- and income-related inequalities in all but two countries. They are largest in England, France and the US and smallest in Austria and Switzerland. Greece, Spain, and Sweden are now also in the low inequality group.

5. Discussion

If socio-economic health inequalities are to be taken serious as policy-relevant issues, indicators should be robust with respect to stratification variable. With this respect, the results presented in this paper are fairly mixed. Some countries such as the US, England or France are relatively unequal independent of the stratification variable, while Switzerland and Austria are always relatively equal. Others, such as Italy, show dramatic changes in their rank. Thus, researchers addressing cross-national differences health inequality should try to check the robustness of their results using different measures of socio-economic status before drawing policy conclusions.

Acknowledgements: This paper uses data from the early release 1 of SHARE 2004. This release is preliminary and may contain errors that will be corrected in later releases. The

SHARE data collection has been primarily funded by the European Commission through the 5th framework programme (project QLK6-CT-2001-00360 in the thematic programme Quality of Life). Additional funding came from the US National Institute on Ageing (U01 AG09740-13S2, P01 AG005842, P01 AG08291, P30 AG12815, Y1-AG-4553-01 and OGHA 04-064). Data collection in Austria (through the Austrian Science Fund, FWF), Belgium (through the Belgian Science Policy Office) and Switzerland (through BBW/OFES/UFES) was nationally funded.

References

Börsch-Supan, A., A. Brugiavini, H. Jürges, J. Mackenbach, J. Siegrist J., and G. Weber (eds), 2005, *Health, Ageing and Retirement in Europe – First Results from the Survey of Health, Ageing and Retirement in Europe*. (MEA, Mannheim).

Jürges, H., 2007, True health vs. response styles: Exploring cross-country differences in self-reported health, *Health Economics* (forthcoming).

Juster, T. and R. Suzman, 1995, An overview of the Health and Retirement Study, *Journal of Human Resources* 30, S7-S56.

Kakwani, N., A. Wagstaff, and E. van Doorslaer, 1997, Socioeconomic inequalities in health: measurement, computation and statistical inference, *Journal of Econometrics* 77, 87-103

Marmot, M., J. Banks, R. Blundell, C. Lessof, J. Nazroo, 2003, *Health, wealth and lifestyles of the older population in England: The English Longitudinal Study of Ageing*. (Institute for Fiscal Studies, London).

OECD, 1999, Classifying Educational Programmes. Manual for ISCED-97 Implementation in OECD Countries. 1999 edition. (OECD: Paris).

Wagstaff, A., E. van Doorslaer, and N. Watanabe, 2003, On the decomposing the causes of health sector inequalities with an application to malnutrition inequalities in Vietnam, *Journal of Econometrics* 112, 207-223.

WHO, 2000, The World health report 2000. Health systems: Improving performance. (WHO: Geneva).

Table 1: Sizes of HRS, ELSA and SHARE working samples

Country	N
United States (HRS)	10,830
England (ELSA)	9,411
SHARE total	17,891
Austria	1,652
Germany	2,351
Sweden	2,516
Netherlands	2,290
Spain	1,987
Italy	2,150
France	1,360
Denmark	1,290
Greece	1,534
Switzerland	761
Total	38,132

Table 2: Health Gini and concentration indices (standard errors in brackets).

Country	Pure health inequality		Education-related inequality in health		Income-related inequality in health		Wealth-related inequality in health	
	Gini coefficient	Rank	Concentration index	Rank	Concentration index	Rank	Concentration index	Rank
Switzerland	0.0703 [0.0039]	1	0.0105 [0.0038]	1	-0.0038 [0.0034]	1	0.0124 [0.0033]	2
Sweden	0.0791 [0.0023]	2	0.0130 [0.0020]	5	0.0133 [0.0020]	9	0.0177 [0.0021]	5
Netherlands	0.0838 [0.0025]	3	0.0131 [0.0022]	6	0.0117 [0.0024]	6	0.0245 [0.0023]	8
Denmark	0.0937 [0.0035]	4	0.0149 [0.0032]	7	0.0124 [0.0035]	8	0.0265 [0.0034]	9
Germany	0.0948 [0.0027]	5	0.0118 [0.0024]	3	0.0142 [0.0024]	10	0.0236 [0.0025]	7
Austria	0.0974 [0.0034]	6	0.0124 [0.0031]	4	0.0034 [0.0031]	2	0.0074 [0.0031]	1
France	0.0991 [0.0038]	7	0.0218 [0.0031]	11	0.0181 [0.0037]	11	0.0298 [0.0037]	10
Greece	0.1034 [0.0035]	8	0.0118 [0.0028]	2	0.0071 [0.0035]	4	0.0154 [0.0034]	3
Italy	0.1037 [0.0030]	9	0.0212 [0.0023]	10	0.0060 [0.0025]	3	0.0214 [0.0029]	6
United States	0.1105 [0.0013]	10	0.0237 [0.0012]	12	0.0347 [0.0013]	12	0.0361 [0.0013]	12
Spain	0.1117 [0.0033]	11	0.0184 [0.0023]	8	0.0075 [0.0030]	5	0.0157 [0.0031]	4
England	0.1131 [0.0015]	12	0.0197 [0.0015]	9	0.0121 [0.0013]	7	0.0315 [0.0014]	11

Table 3 Classification of countries, by size of health inequalities

Individuals are ranked by:	Age-sex standardized inequality in health is significantly... ^{a)}		
	... smaller than average	... not different from average	... larger than average
Health	Netherlands, Sweden, Switzerland	Austria, Denmark, France, Germany	England, Greece, Italy, Spain, US
Education	Germany	Austria, Switzerland, Spain, Greece, Sweden, Netherlands, Denmark	England, France, Italy, US,
Income	Austria, Italy, Switzerland	Spain, Germany, Greece, Sweden, Netherlands, Denmark, England	France, US
Wealth	Austria, Greece, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland	Germany, Denmark, Italy, Netherlands	England, France, US

^{a)} at the 90% level

Discussion Paper Series

Mannheim Research Institute for the Economics of Aging Universität Mannheim

To order copies, please direct your request to the author of the title in question.

Nr.	Autoren	Titel	Jahr
128-07	Axel Börsch-Supan	European welfare state regimes and their generosity towards the elderly	07
129-07	Axel Börsch-Supan Alexander Ludwig Mathias Sommer	Aging and Asset Prices	07
130-07	Axel Börsch-Supan	Nachfrageseitiger Wettbewerb im Gesundheitswesen	07
131-07	Florian Heiss, Axel Börsch-Supan, Michael Hurd, David Wise	Pathways to Disability: Predicting Health Trajectories	07
132-07	Axel Börsch-Supan	Rational Pension Reform	07
133-07	Axel Börsch-Supan	Über selbststabilisierende Rentensysteme	07
134-07	Axel Börsch-Supan, Hendrik Jürges	Early Retirement, Social Security and Well-Being in Germany	07
135-07	Axel Börsch-Supan	Work Disability, Health, and Incentive Effects	07
136-07	Axel Börsch-Supan, Anette Reil-Held, Daniel Schunk	The savings behaviour of German households: First Experiences with state promoted private pensions	07
137-07	Hendrik Jürges, Mauricio Avendano, Johan Mackenbach	How comparable are different measures of self-rated health? Evidence from five European countries	07
138-07	Hendrik Jürges, Kerstion Schneider	What can go wrong will go wrong: Birthday effects and early tracking in the German school system	07
139-07	Hendrik Jürges	Does ill health affect savings intentions? Evidence from SHARE	07
140-07	Hendrik Jürges	Health inequalities by education, income, and wealth: a comparison of 11 European countries and the US	07