

Asymptotic Expansions for Multivariate Polynomial Approximation

Guido Walz

Nr. 237/1999

Professor Dr. Guido Walz
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
University of Mannheim
D-68131 Mannheim, Germany
walz@math.uni-mannheim.de
<http://www.math.uni-mannheim.de/~math4/walz.htm>

Zugangsnummer: 3653197

Signatur:

UNIVERSITÄT MANNHEIM
Bereichsbibliothek Mathematik und Informatik

Asymptotic Expansions for Multivariate Polynomial Approximation

Guido Walz

Abstract. In this paper the approximation of multivariate functions by (multivariate) Bernstein polynomials is considered. Building on recent work of Lai [3], we can prove that the sequence of these Bernstein polynomials possesses an asymptotic expansion with respect to the index n . This generalizes a corresponding result due to Costabile, Gualtieri and Serra [2] on univariate Bernstein polynomials, providing at the same time a new proof for it. After having shown the existence of an asymptotic expansion we can apply an extrapolation algorithm which accelerates the convergence of the Bernstein polynomials considerably; this leads to a new and very efficient method for polynomial approximation of multivariate functions. Numerical examples illustrate our approach.

Keywords. Asymptotic Expansion, Bernstein Operator, Convergence Acceleration, Extrapolation, Multivariate Polynomial Approximation.

Professor Dr. Guido Walz
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
University of Mannheim
D-68131 Mannheim, Germany
Fax: (Germany) 621-292-1064
walz@math.uni-mannheim.de

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

One of the fundamental questions in extrapolation theory is the following one: Can the convergence of a given sequence be accelerated by a suitable extrapolation algorithm or not? The oldest and up the present day most widespread criterion for a positive answer to this question is the existence of an asymptotic expansion for the sequence to be accelerated (see the next section for exact definitions).

This is the reason why the terms asymptotic expansion and extrapolation are so deeply connected. Now, the next question is: Where in Applied Analysis do exist sequences with this property? It is the main reason of this paper, which is both a survey and a research paper, to convince the reader that this is the case also in a field where this was not so well-known until now: Approximation of multivariate functions by polynomials.

We first shortly review what kind of asymptotic expansion we are looking at, and what the corresponding extrapolation process looks like. For more details on these topics, see [7].

Definition. Let there be given a sequence of real or complex numbers $\{\sigma_n\}$ and natural numbers m and N . The sequence $\{\sigma_n\}$ is said to possess an asymptotic expansion of order m , if each σ_n for $n > N$ can be written in the form

$$\sigma_n = \sum_{\mu=0}^m \frac{c_\mu}{n^{\rho_\mu}} + o(n^{-\operatorname{Re} \rho_m}) = c_0 + \sum_{\mu=1}^m \frac{c_\mu}{n^{\rho_\mu}} + o(n^{-\operatorname{Re} \rho_m}) \quad \text{for } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1)$$

Here, the exponents $\{\rho_\mu\}$ are real or complex numbers with the property

$$\rho_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Re} \rho_\mu < \operatorname{Re} \rho_{\mu+1} \quad \text{for all } \mu \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Moreover, if a sequence $\{\sigma_n\}$ possesses an expansion of the type (1) for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then we say that the expansion is of arbitrary order, and write

$$\sigma_n = c_0 + \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_\mu}{n^{\rho_\mu}} \quad (2)$$

for short.

Asymptotic expansions of the type (1) are sometimes also denoted in more detail as logarithmic asymptotic expansions (see [5] or [7]). In this paper, we will use the abbreviated notation asymptotic expansion only.

It is well-known (cf. e.g. [1, 7]) that the basic idea of extrapolation applied to such sequences is to compute the values of σ_n for several choices of n , say $n = n_0 < n_1 < n_2 < \dots$, and to combine them in order to obtain new sequences, which converge faster than the original ones.

For many applications it is convenient to choose the sequence $\{n_i\}$ not just anyhow, but as a geometric progression: With natural numbers n_0 and b , $b \geq 2$, we put

$$n_i := n_0 \cdot b^i, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (3)$$

Then the extrapolation process reads as follows (cf. (4)):

Lemma 1. *Let there be given a sequence $\{\sigma_n\}$, which possesses a asymptotic expansion of the form (1), and a sequence of natural numbers $\{n_i\}$, satisfying (3). Furthermore, choose some $K \in \mathbb{N}$, $K \leq m$ and define for $k = 0, \dots, K$ new sequences $\{y_i^{(k)}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ through the process*

$$\begin{aligned} y_i^{(0)} &= \sigma_{n_i}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, \\ y_i^{(k)} &= \frac{b^{\rho_k} \cdot y_{i+1}^{(k-1)} - y_i^{(k-1)}}{b^{\rho_k} - 1}, \quad \begin{cases} k = 1, 2, \dots, K, \\ i = 0, 1, \dots \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Then each of the sequences $\{y_i^{(k)}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ possesses an asymptotic expansion of the form

$$y_i^{(k)} = c_0 + \sum_{\mu=k+1}^m \frac{c_\mu^{(k)}}{n_i^{\rho_\mu}} + o(n_i^{-\text{Re}\rho_m}) \quad \text{for } n_i \rightarrow \infty \quad (5)$$


with coefficients $c_\mu^{(k)}$ independent of n_i . In particular, each of the sequences $\{y_i^{(k)}\}$ converges faster to the limit c_0 than its predecessor.

So, the message is: If one has a convergent numerical process of whatever kind, say, a discretized differential equation or a quadrature formula, one should always check whether the output of this process has a asymptotic expansion. Experience says that this is indeed the case in much more situations than commonly expected or known.

To illustrate and to support this remark, we consider in this paper the Bernstein polynomial operators (or Bernstein polynomials), which are in Approximation Theory well-known as a tool for polynomial approximation of functions. It will be shown that the sequence of these operators also possesses an asymptotic expansion, and thus that their order of convergence can be improved considerably using extrapolation. In the univariate case, this result was proved quite recently in [2], see Theorem 2. As the main new contribution, we develop an analogous result for the multivariate case. Since the proof in [2] cannot be adopted for the multivariate case, we had to develop a new approach, building on results published in [3]. This provides at the same time a new proof also for the univariate case.

2. Asymptotic Expansion for the Bernstein Operator

We first briefly review some results on the univariate case and then prove our main result (Theorem 5) on the multivariate one.



The sequence of Bernstein operators

$$B_n(f; x) := \sum_{\nu=0}^n f\left(\frac{\nu}{n}\right) \cdot B_{n,\nu}(x), \quad (6)$$

defined for any $f \in C[0, 1]$, converges uniformly to f on $[0, 1]$. Here, $B_{n,\nu}(x)$ denotes the (univariate) Bernstein polynomial

$$B_{n,\nu}(x) := \binom{n}{\nu} x^\nu (1-x)^{n-\nu}.$$

However, as shown by Voronovskaja [6], we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (B_n(f; x) - f(x)) = \frac{x(1-x)}{2} f^{(2)}(x) \quad (7)$$

in each point $x \in [0, 1]$ where $f^{(2)}(x)$ exists.

This means that already quadratic polynomials are not reproduced by $B_n(f; \cdot)$, and that the order of convergence is not better than $O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$. Therefore, several attempts have been made to improve this order of convergence, see [2] for an overview and some references.

In view of asymptotic expansion and extrapolation theory, a big step was done recently by Costabile, Gualtieri and Serra [2], who established the asymptotic expansion for the Bernstein operator B_n . Their main result can be stated as follows:

Theorem 2. *Let $f \in C^{2k}[0, 1]$ with some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the sequence $\{B_n(f; x)\}$, defined in (6), possesses an asymptotic expansion of the form*

$$B_n(f; x) = f(x) + \sum_{\nu=1}^k \frac{c_\nu(x)}{n^\nu} + O\left(n^{-(k+1)}\right) \quad \text{for } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

It is our goal to develop an analogous result for the multivariate case.

However, we do *not* generalize the proof given in [2], which could by the way be shortened considerably by using the asymptotic results already to be found in [4]. Instead, we will make use of some asymptotic relations for multivariate Bernstein polynomials, established quite recently by M.-J. Lai [3].

Let v^0, \dots, v^s be $(s+1)$ distinct points in \mathbb{R}^s , such that the volume of the s -simplex $T := \langle v^0, \dots, v^s \rangle$ is positive. For each point $\mathbf{x} \in T$, we denote by $(\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_s)$ the barycentric coordinates of \mathbf{x} w.r.t. T .

It is well known that any polynomial $p_n(\mathbf{x})$ of total degree n can be expressed by using the basic functions

$$B_\alpha(\lambda) := \frac{|\alpha|!}{\alpha!} \lambda^\alpha, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{s+1} \text{ with } |\alpha| = n$$



in the form

$$p_n(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=n \\ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{s+1}}} c_\alpha B_\alpha(\lambda), \quad \mathbf{x} \in T.$$

Here, as usual, for any $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_s) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{s+1}$, we set $|\alpha| = \alpha_0 + \dots + \alpha_s$ and $\alpha! = \alpha_0! \dots \alpha_s!$. Also, it is $\lambda^\alpha = \lambda_0^{\alpha_0} \dots \lambda_s^{\alpha_s}$.

For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{s+1}$, denote by \mathbf{x}_α the point

$$\mathbf{x}_\alpha := \frac{1}{|\alpha|} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^s \alpha_i v^i.$$

We consider the approximation of a given function $f \in C(T)$ by the multivariate Bernstein polynomial

$$B_n(f; \mathbf{x}) := \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=n \\ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{s+1}}} f(\mathbf{x}_\alpha) B_\alpha(\lambda). \quad (8)$$

As in [3], we introduce the auxiliary polynomials

$$S_\gamma^n(\mathbf{x}) = n^{|\gamma|} \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=n \\ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{s+1}}} (\mathbf{x}_\alpha - \mathbf{x})^\gamma B_\alpha(\lambda)$$

for $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^s$. The following results, which we will make use of below, were proved by Lai [3]:

Theorem 3. *The polynomials S_γ^n possess the explicit representations*

$$S_\gamma^n \equiv 0 \quad \text{for } |\gamma| \leq 1,$$


$$S_\gamma^n(\mathbf{x}) = n \cdot \sum_{j=0}^s \lambda_j (v^j - \mathbf{x})^\gamma \quad \text{for } |\gamma| = 2,$$

and

$$S_\gamma^n(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{|\gamma|-2} \sum_{\substack{\beta^1, \dots, \beta^\mu \in \mathbb{N}_0^s \\ \beta^1 + \dots + \beta^\mu = \gamma \\ |\beta^i| \geq 2, i=1, \dots, \mu}} n(n-1) \dots (n-\mu+1) \prod_{i=1}^{\mu} \left(\sum_{j=0}^s \lambda_j (v^j - \mathbf{x})^{\beta^i} \right) \quad \text{for } |\gamma| \geq 3.$$

Theorem 4. *For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in C^{2k}(T)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^k \left[B_n(f; \mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}) - \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^s \\ |\gamma| \leq 2k-1}} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \frac{S_\gamma^n(\mathbf{x})}{n^{|\gamma|}} D^\gamma f(\mathbf{x}) \right] = \\ = \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^s \\ |\gamma|=2k}} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \sum_{\substack{\beta^1, \dots, \beta^k \in \mathbb{N}_0^s \\ \beta^1 + \dots + \beta^k = \gamma \\ |\beta^i|=2, i=1, \dots, k}} \prod_{i=1}^k \left(\sum_{j=0}^s \lambda_j (v^j - \mathbf{x})^{\beta^i} \right) D^\gamma f(\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$



Building on these auxiliary results, we can now state and prove our main theorem. Note that although Theorem 4 is a deep and nice result on the asymptotic behavior of the multivariate Bernstein approximants, it does still not yet prove the asymptotic expansion. To do this, a careful analysis of the coefficient functions in (9) is necessary.

Theorem 5. *Let $f \in C^{2k}(T)$ with some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the sequence of Bernstein approximants $\{B_n(f; \mathbf{x})\}$, defined in (8), possesses an asymptotic expansion of the form*

$$B_n(f; \mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{\nu=1}^k \frac{c_\nu(\mathbf{x})}{n^\nu} + O(n^{-(k+1)}) \text{ for } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (10)$$

The coefficient functions $c_\nu(\mathbf{x})$ can be given explicitly; we have

$$c_\nu(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^s \\ \nu+1 \leq |\gamma| \leq 2\nu}} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \sum_{\mu=|\gamma|-\nu}^{\lfloor \frac{|\gamma|}{2} \rfloor} \alpha_{|\gamma|-\nu, \mu} \cdot \sum_{\substack{\beta^1, \dots, \beta^\mu \in \mathbb{N}_0^s \\ \beta^1 + \dots + \beta^\mu = \gamma \\ |\beta^i| \geq 2, i=1, \dots, \mu}} \prod_{i=1}^{\mu} \left(\sum_{j=0}^s \lambda_j (v^j - \mathbf{x})^{\beta^i} \right) \quad (11)$$

with recursively computable numbers $\alpha_{i, \mu}$, see (14) below.

Proof. We use the following result, to be found for example in [7]:

A sequence $\{B_n\}$ possesses an asymptotic expansion of the desired form, if and only if for $m = 1, \dots, k$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^m \left\{ B_n - f - \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} \frac{c_\nu}{n^\nu} \right\} =: c_m \quad (12)$$

exists and is different from zero. (Here and below, we set empty sums equal to zero.)


From (12), it is clear that the results due to Lai, as quoted above, are a big step towards the proof of our Theorem, but as be seen below, there is still something to do.

We first have to make a further analysis of the functions S_γ^n . It is clear that if we have points $\beta^1, \dots, \beta^\mu \in \mathbb{N}_0^s$ with $|\beta^i| \geq 2$, $i = 1, \dots, \mu$, and if $\mu > \frac{|\gamma|}{2}$, then

$$|\beta^1 + \dots + \beta^\mu| > |\gamma|$$

This means that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{S_\gamma^n(\mathbf{x})}{n^{|\gamma|}} &= \sum_{\mu=1}^{|\gamma|-2} \frac{n(n-1) \cdots (n-\mu+1)}{n^{|\gamma|}} \cdot \sum_{\substack{\beta^1, \dots, \beta^\mu \in \mathbb{N}_0^s \\ \beta^1 + \dots + \beta^\mu = \gamma \\ |\beta^i| \geq 2, i=1, \dots, \mu}} \prod_{i=1}^{\mu} \left(\sum_{j=0}^s \lambda_j (v^j - \mathbf{x})^{\beta^i} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\mu=1}^{\lfloor \frac{|\gamma|}{2} \rfloor} \frac{n(n-1) \cdots (n-\mu+1)}{n^{|\gamma|}} \cdot \sum_{\substack{\beta^1, \dots, \beta^\mu \in \mathbb{N}_0^s \\ \beta^1 + \dots + \beta^\mu = \gamma \\ |\beta^i| \geq 2, i=1, \dots, \mu}} \prod_{i=1}^{\mu} \left(\sum_{j=0}^s \lambda_j (v^j - \mathbf{x})^{\beta^i} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$



with

$$\left\lfloor \frac{|\gamma|}{2} \right\rfloor = \begin{cases} \frac{|\gamma|}{2} & , \quad |\gamma| \text{ even} \\ \frac{|\gamma|-1}{2} & , \quad |\gamma| \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Next we observe that the expression

$$n(n-1)\cdots(n-\mu+1)$$

is a polynomial of exact degree μ in n , say

$$n(n-1)\cdots(n-\mu+1) = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \alpha_{i,\mu} n^i,$$

with coefficients $\alpha_{i,\mu}$, which can be computed by the recursion

$$\alpha_{1,1} = 1, \quad \alpha_{i,1} = 0, \quad i \neq 1,$$

and

$$\alpha_{i,\mu+1} := \alpha_{i-1,\mu} - \mu \cdot \alpha_{i,\mu}, \quad \mu \geq 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \mu + 1. \quad (14)$$

In particular,

$$\alpha_{\mu,\mu} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{1,\mu} = (-1)^{\mu-1} \cdot (\mu-1)! \quad (15)$$

for all μ .

Together with (13), it follows that

$$\frac{S_{\gamma}^n(\mathbf{x})}{n^{|\gamma|}} = \sum_{\mu=1}^{\lfloor \frac{|\gamma|}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \frac{\alpha_{i,\mu}}{n^{|\gamma|-i}} \sum_{\substack{\beta^1, \dots, \beta^{\mu} \in \mathbb{N}_0^s \\ \beta^1 + \dots + \beta^{\mu} = \gamma \\ |\beta^i| \geq 2, \quad i=1, \dots, \mu}} \prod_{i=1}^{\mu} \left(\sum_{j=0}^s \lambda_j (v^j - \mathbf{x})^{\beta^i} \right). \quad (16)$$


Rearranging this according to powers of n , we obtain

$$\frac{S_{\gamma}^n(\mathbf{x})}{n^{|\gamma|}} = \sum_{l=\lfloor \frac{|\gamma|+1}{2} \rfloor}^{|\gamma|-1} \frac{\delta_{l,\gamma}(\mathbf{x})}{n^l} \quad (17)$$

with coefficient functions $\delta_{l,\gamma}$, which do not depend on n .

For later use we note that for $|\gamma|$ even, say $|\gamma| = 2\nu$, the coefficient of the lowest power of n , $\delta_{\nu,\gamma}$, can be given explicitly: From (16), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\nu,\gamma}(\mathbf{x}) &= \alpha_{\nu,\nu} \cdot \sum_{\substack{\beta^1, \dots, \beta^{\nu} \in \mathbb{N}_0^s \\ \beta^1 + \dots + \beta^{\nu} = \gamma \\ |\beta^i| \geq 2, \quad i=1, \dots, \nu}} \prod_{i=1}^{\nu} \left(\sum_{j=0}^s \lambda_j (v^j - \mathbf{x})^{\beta^i} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\beta^1, \dots, \beta^{\nu} \in \mathbb{N}_0^s \\ \beta^1 + \dots + \beta^{\nu} = 2\nu \\ |\beta^i| = 2, \quad i=1, \dots, \nu}} \prod_{i=1}^{\nu} \left(\sum_{j=0}^s \lambda_j (v^j - \mathbf{x})^{\beta^i} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$



From (17), it follows that the sum over all these expressions itself is of the form

$$\sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^s \\ |\gamma| \leq 2k-1}} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \frac{S_\gamma^n(\mathbf{x})}{n^{|\gamma|}} D^\gamma f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{d_{1,k}(\mathbf{x})}{n} + \frac{d_{2,k}(\mathbf{x})}{n^2} + \dots + \frac{d_{k,k}(\mathbf{x})}{n^k} + O(n^{-(k+1)}). \quad (19)$$

We now make the

Claim. For all $\nu \leq k$, the coefficient functions $d_{j,\nu}$ in (19) satisfy

$$d_{j,\nu}(\mathbf{x}) = d_{j,\nu-1}(\mathbf{x}) \quad j = 1, \dots, \nu - 2$$

and

$$d_{\nu-1,\nu}(\mathbf{x}) = d_{\nu-1,\nu-1}(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^s \\ |\gamma| = 2\nu-2}} \delta_{\nu-1,\gamma}(\mathbf{x}) \quad (20)$$

with $\delta_{\nu-1,2\nu-1}$ from (17).

Proof of Claim. The proof is by induction. For $k = 1$, there is nothing to show, while for $k = 2$, the only relation to prove is

$$d_{1,2}(\mathbf{x}) = d_{1,1}(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^s \\ |\gamma| = 2}} \delta_{1,\gamma}(\mathbf{x}).$$

But this is true, since $d_{1,1} = 0$.

Now we assume that the claim is true for ν , and prove it for $\nu + 1$.

From (17), (19) and the induction hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^s \\ |\gamma| \leq 2\nu+1}} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \frac{S_\gamma^n}{n^{|\gamma|}} D^\gamma f(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \frac{d_{1,\nu}(\mathbf{x})}{n} + \dots + \frac{d_{\nu,\nu}(\mathbf{x})}{n^\nu} + O(n^{-(\nu+1)}) + \sum_{l=\nu}^{2\nu-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^s \\ |\gamma| = 2\nu}} \frac{\delta_{l,\gamma}(\mathbf{x})}{n^l} + \sum_{l=\nu+1}^{2\nu} \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^s \\ |\gamma| = 2\nu+1}} \frac{\delta_{l,\gamma}(\mathbf{x})}{n^l} \\ &= \frac{d_{1,\nu+1}(\mathbf{x})}{n} + \dots + \frac{d_{\nu,\nu+1}(\mathbf{x})}{n^\nu} + \frac{d_{\nu+1,\nu+1}(\mathbf{x})}{n^{\nu+1}} + O(n^{-(\nu+2)}), \end{aligned}$$

and comparing coefficients on both sides of this equation proves the claim.

We now define, for $\nu = 1, \dots, k$, coefficient functions $\tilde{c}_\nu(\mathbf{x})$ by

$$\tilde{c}_\nu(\mathbf{x}) := d_{\nu,\nu}(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^s \\ |\gamma| = 2\nu}} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \delta_{\nu,\gamma}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot D^\gamma f(\mathbf{x}). \quad (21)$$

We now claim: For $m = 1, \dots, k$, it is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^m \left\{ B_n(f; \mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}) - \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} \frac{\tilde{c}_\nu(\mathbf{x})}{n^\nu} \right\} = \tilde{c}_m(\mathbf{x}). \quad (22)$$



For $m = 1$, this was established by Lai [3] as a corollary to Theorem 3.

Now let $2 \leq m \leq k$. From (19) in connection with Theorem 4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^m \left(B_n(f; \mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}) - \left(\frac{d_{1,m}(\mathbf{x})}{n} + \dots + \frac{d_{m,m}(\mathbf{x})}{n^m} \right) \right) &= \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^s \\ |\gamma| = 2m}} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \sum_{\substack{\beta^1, \dots, \beta^m \in \mathbb{N}_0^s \\ \beta^1 + \dots + \beta^m = \gamma \\ |\beta^i| \geq 2, i=1, \dots, m}} \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{j=0}^s \lambda_j (v^j - \mathbf{x})^{\beta^i} \right) D^\gamma f(\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned}$$

Together with (21), (20) and (18), this gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^m \left(B_n(f; \mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}) - \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} \frac{\tilde{c}_\nu(\mathbf{x})}{n^\nu} - \frac{d_{m,m}(\mathbf{x})}{n^m} \right) = \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^s \\ |\gamma| = 2m}} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \delta_{\nu, \gamma}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot D^\gamma f(\mathbf{x}),$$

and so, using (21) once more, (22) is proved.

This also completes the proof of the existence of the asymptotic expansion, as stated in (10).

To verify (11) (i.e., to prove that $c_\nu = \tilde{c}_\nu$), we once again analyse the sum in (19). Using (16) gives

$$\sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^s \\ |\gamma| \leq 2\nu-1}} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \sum_{\mu=1}^{\lfloor \frac{|\gamma|}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \frac{\alpha_{i,\mu}}{n^{|\gamma|-i}} \cdot \sum_{\substack{\beta^1, \dots, \beta^\mu \in \mathbb{N}_0^s \\ \beta^1 + \dots + \beta^\mu = \gamma \\ |\beta^i| \geq 2, i=1, \dots, \mu}} \prod_{i=1}^{\mu} \left(\sum_{j=0}^s \lambda_j (v^j - \mathbf{x})^{\beta^i} \right) D^\gamma f(\mathbf{x}).$$

Collecting in this expression all terms containing $\frac{1}{n^\nu}$ shows that the coefficient of this power of n is

$$\sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^s \\ |\gamma| \leq 2\nu-1}} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \sum_{\mu=1}^{\lfloor \frac{|\gamma|}{2} \rfloor} \alpha_{|\gamma|-\nu, \mu} \cdot \sum_{\substack{\beta^1, \dots, \beta^\mu \in \mathbb{N}_0^s \\ \beta^1 + \dots + \beta^\mu = \gamma \\ |\beta^i| \geq 2, i=1, \dots, \mu}} \prod_{i=1}^{\mu} \left(\sum_{j=0}^s \lambda_j (v^j - \mathbf{x})^{\beta^i} \right) D^\gamma f(\mathbf{x}).$$

Since $\alpha_{i,\mu} = 0$ for $i \leq 0$ and $i > \mu$, this is equal to

$$\sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^s \\ \nu+1 \leq |\gamma| \leq 2\nu-1}} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \sum_{\mu=|\gamma|-\nu}^{\lfloor \frac{|\gamma|}{2} \rfloor} \alpha_{|\gamma|-\nu, \mu} \cdot \sum_{\substack{\beta^1, \dots, \beta^\mu \in \mathbb{N}_0^s \\ \beta^1 + \dots + \beta^\mu = \gamma \\ |\beta^i| \geq 2, i=1, \dots, \mu}} \prod_{i=1}^{\mu} \left(\sum_{j=0}^s \lambda_j (v^j - \mathbf{x})^{\beta^i} \right) D^\gamma f(\mathbf{x}).$$

Now using once more relation (18) completes the proof of Theorem 5. \square



3. Numerical Results

Having proved the existence of the asymptotic expansion (10), we can now apply the extrapolation process (4) to the sequence of Bernstein approximants. It follows from (10) that $\rho_k = k$ for all k . In order to illustrate the numerical effect of extrapolation, we show in this section a small selection of a number of numerical tests that have been examined, and all of which showed the asymptotic behaviour that was predicted.

The results shown below were obtained for $s = 2$ on the triangle T with vertices

$$v^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, v^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } v^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

in euclidean coordinates. We computed the absolute values of the error functions in the barycenter of T , the point

$$\beta = \frac{1}{3} (v^0 + v^1 + v^2).$$

As a first test, we applied the method to the bivariate polynomial

$$f_1(x, y) := xy^3.$$

The errors of the approximations $y_i^{(k)}$ of the true value $f_1(\beta) = 1/3$, computed by extrapolation with $K = 3$, $n_0 = 2$, and $i = 0, \dots, 6$, are shown in Table 1a. As expected, the entries of the third column are identically zero, since f_1 is a polynomial of total degree 4, and therefore the third extrapolation step already gives the exact result. Note in this connection that the Bernstein approximants themselves do not reproduce the polynomial f_1 exactly, however high their degree might be.

.2083 e(00)			
.9896 e(-1)	.1042 e(-1)	.5208 e(-2)	
.4622 e(-1)	.6510 e(-2)	.6510 e(-3)	.0000 e(1)
.2205 e(-1)	.2116 e(-2)	.8138 e(-4)	.0000 e(1)
.1073 e(-1)	.5900 e(-3)	.1017 e(-4)	.0000 e(1)
.5288 e(-2)	.1551 e(-3)	.1272 e(-5)	.0000 e(1)
	.3974 e(-4)		
.2624 e(-2)			

Table 1a. Errors in Approximating f_1



As a second example we consider approximation of the function

$$f_2(x, y) := \exp(x + y)$$

and again compare our numerical approximations with the true value of f_2 in β , which is $\exp(4/3)$. This time, the errors (in absolute value) of the approximations computed by our method with $K = 4$, $n_0 = 4$, and $i = 0, \dots, 8$ are shown, see Table 2a.

.1097 e(00)				
	.2113 e(-2)			
.5378 e(-1)		.1500 e(-4)		
	.5396 e(-3)		.2869 e(-6)	
.2662 e(-1)		.1624 e(-5)		.1575 e(-9)
	.1361 e(-3)		.1779 e(-7)	
.1324 e(-1)		.1875 e(-6)		.7047 e(-11)
	.3417 e(-4)		.1105 e(-8)	
.6603 e(-2)		.2247 e(-7)		.2520 e(-12)
	.8559 e(-5)		.6883 e(-10)	
.3297 e(-2)		.2748 e(-8)		.8359 3(-14)
	.2142 e(-5)		.4294 e(-11)	
.1648 e(-2)		.3398 e(-9)		.2687 e(-15)
	.5357 e(-6)		.2681 e(-12)	
.8235 e(-3)		.4224 e(-10)		
	.1340 e(-6)			
.4117 e(-3)				

Table 2a. Errors in Approximating f_2

In Tables 1b and 2b, finally, we have the *quotients* of two subsequent values in the columns of Tables 1a resp. 2a. As predicted, the entries of the k^{th} column (starting to count with $k = 0$) converge to 2^{k+1} .

2.105		
2.141	1.600	
	3.077	8.000
2.096		8.000
	3.586	
2.055		8.000
	3.803	
2.029		8.000
	3.904	
2.015		8.000
	3.953	
2.008		

Table 1b. Quotients of the Entries of Table 1a



2.039				
2.020	3.917	9.237		
2.010	3.964	8.664	16.133	22.345
2.005	3.984	8.344	16.096	27.966
2.003	3.992	8.175	16.055	30.146
2.001	3.996	8.088	16.029	31.111
2.001	3.998	8.044	16.015	
2.000	3.999			

Table 2b. Quotients of the Entries of Table 2a

4. Conclusion

In contrast to the univariate case, the approximation of multivariate functions by polynomials is still a very difficult task, and many problems are open. Up to now, there exist very few numerical methods for the computation of good polynomial approximations. Therefore, we are convinced that the approach developed in this paper provides a very efficient new method for polynomial approximation of multivariate functions.

References

1. C. Brezinski & M. Redivo Zaglia. *Extrapolation Methods, Theory and Practice*. North Holland, Amsterdam 1992.
2. F. Costabile, M. I. Gualtieri & S. Serra. *Asymptotic Expansion and Extrapolation for Bernstein Polynomials with Applications*. BIT **36** (1996), 676–687.
3. M.-J. Lai. *Asymptotic Formulae of Multivariate Bernstein Approximation*. J. Approx. Theory **70** (1992), 229–242.
4. G. G. Lorentz. *Bernstein Polynomials*. University of Toronto Press, Toronto 1953.
5. G. Meinardus & G. Merz, *Praktische Mathematik II*. Bibl. Institut, Mannheim/Wien/ Zürich 1982.
6. E. Voronowskaja. *Détermination de la forme asymptotique d'approximation des fonctions par les polynomes de M. Bernstein*. C. R. Acad. Sci. U.R.S.S., (1932), 79–85.
7. G. Walz. *Asymptotics and Extrapolation*. Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.

