



SONDERFORSCHUNGSBEREICH 504

Rationalitätskonzepte,
Entscheidungsverhalten und
ökonomische Modellierung

No. 03-28

Uniqueness Conditions for Point-Rationalizable Solutions of Games with Metrizable Strategy Sets

Alexander Zimmer*

October 2003

I thank Jürgen Eichberger, Itzhak Gilboa, Hans Haller, Martin Hellwig, and Alexander Ludwig for helpful discussions. Financial support from Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft is gratefully acknowledged.

*Sonderforschungsbereich 504, email: zimmer@bigfoot.com



Universität Mannheim
L 13,15
68131 Mannheim

Uniqueness Conditions for Point-Rationalizable Solutions of Games with Metrizable Strategy Sets*

Alexander Zimmer†

September 2003

Abstract

The unique *point-rationalizable* solution of a game is the unique Nash equilibrium. However, this solution has the additional advantage that it can be justified by the epistemic assumption that it is Common Knowledge of the players that only best responses are chosen. Thus, games with a unique *point-rationalizable* solution allow for a plausible explanation of equilibrium play in *one-shot* strategic situations, and it is therefore desirable to identify such games. In order to derive sufficient and necessary conditions for unique *point-rationalizable* solutions this paper adopts and generalizes the *contraction-property* approach of Moulin (1984) and of Bernheim (1984). Uniqueness results obtained in this paper are derived under fairly general assumptions such as games with arbitrary metrizable strategy sets and are especially useful for complete and bounded, for compact, as well as for finite strategy sets. As a mathematical side result existence of a unique fixed point is proved under conditions that generalize a fixed point theorem due to Edelstein (1962).

Keywords: Uniqueness, Existence, Point-Rationalizability, Nash Equilibrium, Fixed Point Theorem, Cournot Competition

JEL Classification Numbers: C62, C72.

*I thank Jürgen Eichberger, Itzhak Gilboa, Hans Haller, Martin Hellwig, and Alexander Ludwig for helpful discussions. Financial support from Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft is gratefully acknowledged.

†Sonderforschungsbereich 504, University of Mannheim, L13, 15, 68131 Mannheim, Germany. Email: zimmer@bigfoot.com

1 Introduction

This paper explores mathematical conditions that are sufficient and necessary for the existence of unique *point-rationalizable* solutions of strategic games. *Point-rationalizability* (cf. Bernheim 1984, Moulin 1984, and Pearce 1984) belongs to the class of *iterative solution concepts* as an alternative to *equilibrium solution concepts* for the solution of strategic games. However, games with a unique *point-rationalizable* solution are especially attractive from the *equilibrium approach's* perspective as well: a unique *point-rationalizable* solution is not only the unique Nash equilibrium of the game but the epistemic interpretation of *point-rationalizability* provides also a plausible justification for equilibrium play even if this game is only played in *one-shot* strategic situations. Moulin (1984) and Bernheim (1984) present sufficient conditions for a unique *point-rationalizable* strategy that are based on contraction properties of the best response function. The findings of this paper extend Bernheim's and Moulin's uniqueness results with respect to contraction properties of the best response function and to the generality of considered strategy sets.

Equilibrium concepts presume that players' strategy choices are an equilibrium point in the sense of Nash (1950). Presuming that each player forms expectations (beliefs) about the play of her opponents a Nash equilibrium can be interpreted as a strategy profile such that each player's expectation is confirmed by the actual strategy choices of her opponents. When a game is repeated over and over some *learning mechanism* may be regarded as a justification for equilibrium play; however, for games that are just *one-shot* strategic situations (or that are not repeated very often) the question of how players shall be able to form correct expectations about their opponents' strategy choices may be difficult to answer.

Iterative concepts try to solve a game via elimination of sequentially *unreasonable* strategies. As a particular iterative solution concept *point-rationalizability* starts out with the assumption that a player will not choose a strategy which is not a best response to any strategy profile of her opponents. If this assumption results in an elimination of strategies the complexity of the problem is reduced. In the next step strategies are eliminated that are not best responses to some strategy profile that survived the first elimination round, and so forth. If this process converges to some nonempty set of strategies such that every strategy in this set is a best response to another strategy in this set, these remaining strategies are labeled as *point-rationalizable*. This process of *iterated elimination of strategies which are not best-responses* can be understood as a formal description of a player's internal process of reasoning under the epistemic assumption that it is *common knowledge of the players that only best responses are chosen* (cf. Pearce

1984, Tan and Werlang 1988, Guesnerie 2002). Consequently, under the assumption that each player engages exactly in this process of internal reasoning the set of *point-rationalizable* strategies describes a solution of the game that does neither require correct ex-ante beliefs nor any learning from previous play.

For games with a unique *point-rationalizable* solution the *point-rationalizable* strategy coincides with the unique Nash equilibrium of this game. As a consequence a good answer can be given for these particular games to the equilibrium approach's problem of how players might arrive in *one-shot* strategic situations at correct expectations about their opponents' strategy choices: The players just have to engage in the internal process of reasoning, as presumed by the *point-rationalizability* approach, and will then end up with correct expectations about their opponents' strategy choices.

Bernheim (proposition 5.5 in Bernheim 1984) derives a sufficiency condition for unique *point-rationalizable* strategies which states that a *point-rationalizable* strategy is unique if the best response function is a contraction mapping in the Euclidean metric with a sufficiently fast contraction whereby the speed of the contraction has to increase in the number of players, $\#I$, of a given game. In particular, the contraction speed of the best response function $f : S \rightarrow S$ is characterized by the following formula whereby the strategy set S is a compact subset of \mathbf{R}^n and $d(s, t) = \|s - t\|_2$, $s, t \in S$, denotes the Euclidean metric

$$d(f(s), f(t)) < \frac{d(s, t)}{\sqrt{(\#I - 1)}}$$

The proof of Theorem 4 in Moulin (1984) reveals that - independent of the number of players - a contraction of the best-response function in the supremum norm implies a unique *point-rationalizable* strategy if the individual strategy sets S_i , $i \in I$, are compact and convex subsets of \mathbf{R} .

This paper extends these existing uniqueness results in several respects. As a first result (Theorem 1) we identify mathematical conditions that are sufficient for a unique *point-rationalizable* solution in games with arbitrary metrizable strategy sets. For compact strategy sets we characterize (Theorem 2) uniqueness of the *point-rationalizable* solution by a sufficient and necessary condition. We define then the mathematical concept of *T-contractivity* (respectively, *T-contraction*) such that a best response function is *T-contractive* if and only if the distance between strategy profiles which obtain from a T-fold application of the best response function is smaller than the distance between its arguments. We show that *T-contractivity* (respectively, *T-contraction*) of the best response function is a sufficient uniqueness condition for compact (respectively, complete and bounded) strategy sets (Theorem 3, respectively, Theorem 5). Moreover, if the strategy set of a game is finite *T-contractivity* is a sufficient and necessary uniqueness

condition (Theorem 4).

For games with differentiable individual best response functions and compact real-valued individual strategy sets we derive properties of the first-order partial derivatives of the individual best response functions that verify (Theorem 6) uniqueness of the *point-rationalizable* solution. Furthermore, we will apply these findings to an economic problem raised by Bernheim (1984): Bernheim observes that virtually every output-decision becomes *point-rationalizable* in a classical model of Cournot competition - possessing a unique Nash equilibrium - if there are at least three competitors. Our result demonstrates that Bernheim's pessimistic result does not necessarily apply when we admit marginal changes in a firm's presumed inverse demand function. In our model of Cournot competition between three firms *1-contractivity* is not satisfied, however, we can establish uniqueness of the point-rationalizable solution by showing *2-contractivity* of the best response function. Thus, our model of Cournot competition also demonstrates an applicational advantage that arises from the generalization of uniqueness conditions from simple *contractivity*, i.e., $T = 1$, to general *T-contractivity* with $T \geq 1$.

A result by Milgrom and Roberts (the second corollary at p. 1266 in Milgrom and Roberts 1990) implies an alternative uniqueness condition for *point-rationalizable* solutions: The *point-rationalizable* solution of a *supermodular* game is unique if and only if the Nash equilibrium is unique. Thus, for *supermodular games* the existence of a unique fixed point of the best response correspondence is sufficient for a unique *point-rationalizable* solution, which is not true for strategic games in general. Since the uniqueness conditions of this paper entail unique fixed points, they can be applied to *supermodular games* for establishing unique *point-rationalizable* solutions. In this context it may be of interest that we generalize a fixed point theorem due to Edelstein (1962) by showing that a *T-contractive* mapping on a compact set has a unique fixed point for any $T \geq 1$ and not only for $T = 1$ (cf. remark 2 in section 3).

Supermodular games (cf. Topkis 1979, Vives 1990, Milgrom and Roberts 1990) have to satisfy rather strong conditions: strategy sets are *complete lattices*, the utility functions are *supermodular* and they exhibit *increasing differences*. In contrast, our uniqueness conditions refer only to properties of the best response function whereas no assumptions with respect to utility functions are imposed. Our uniqueness conditions are therefore also applicable to non-*supermodular* games such as games with decreasing best response functions (e.g., Cournot competition with more than two firms, cf. Theorem 4.2. (iii) in Vives 1990). However, the unique *point-rationalizable* solution of a *supermodular game* has the advantage to coincide with the unique strategy that survives *iterated elimination of strongly dominated strategies*. In the concluding section 6 we ex-

plain why this coincidence is desirable and we mention possible extensions of this paper's uniqueness conditions to other iterated solution concepts than *point-rationalizability*.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we introduce basic definitions and observations. The main uniqueness results are presented in section 3, and in section 4 we derive further results under the assumption that the best response functions are differentiable on compact real-valued strategy sets. We apply in section 5 the findings of section 4 to demonstrate uniqueness of the *point-rationalizable* solution in a model of Cournot competition with three firms. Finally, section 6 concludes by relating this paper's uniqueness results to other iterative solution concepts.

2 Notation, Preliminaries

For a finite set of players, I , let $G = (S_i, f_i)_{i \in I}$ denote a game in *normal form* with S_i as individual strategy set of player i . Furthermore, $f_i : S_{-i} \rightarrow 2^{S_i}$ denotes the individual best response correspondence such that f_i maximizes player i 's preference ordering over $S_i \times S_{-i}$. If all individual best response correspondences are single-valued we call $f : S \rightarrow S$ with $f(s) = \times_{i=1}^I f_i(s_{-i})$ the best response function of G and we write $s = f(t)$ instead of $s \in f(t)$.

Definition. (Bernheim 1984, Moulin 1984, Pearce 1984)

The set of point-rationalizable strategies of a game G is given by

$$P(G) = \bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k(S)$$

such that

$$\lambda^k(S) = \bigcup_{s \in \lambda^{k-1}(S)} f(s)$$

and $\lambda^0(S) = S$.

Whenever $P(G)$ is a singleton, i.e., there exists a unique *point-rationalizable* strategy, we speak of a unique *point-rationalizable* solution of G . For a given best response function f fix now some $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and define inductively the function $f^k : S \rightarrow S$ by $f^k(s) = f(f^{k-1}(s))$ with $f^0(s) = s$. The following result is easily verified:

Observation 1. *Given a game G with a best response function f . If $s = f^k(s)$ for some $k \geq 1$ then*

$$s, f(s), \dots, f^{k-1}(s) \in P(G)$$

Thus, while a Nash equilibrium of G is by definition a fixed point of the best response function f , i.e., of f^k with $k = 1$, each fixed point of any function f^k with $k \geq 1$ is a *point-rationalizable* strategy of G .

Observation 2. *If there exists unique point-rationalizable strategy s^* of a game G then s^* is also the unique Nash equilibrium of G .*

Proof: If s^* is a *point-rationalizable* strategy then $s^* \in \lambda^k(S)$ for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Moreover, there must exist some strategy $s \in \lambda^k(S)$ for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $s^* \in f(s)$, which implies $s \in P(G)$, i.e., s is also a *point-rationalizable* strategy. Because there exists by assumption a unique *point-rationalizable* strategy we must have $s^* = s$ and therefore $s^* \in f(s^*)$, i.e., s^* is a Nash equilibrium. By Observation 1 this Nash equilibrium is unique. \square

3 Main Results

Throughout this section we presume that the strategy set S can be described as a subset of a metric space (X, d) for some given metric $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+ \cup +\infty$. Before we proceed recall that the *diameter* of some subset A of the metric space (X, d) is defined by

$$\text{diam}(A) = \sup \{d(s, t) : s, t \in A\}$$

Theorem 1. *Given a game G such that*

(A1) *There exists a continuous best response function f .*

(A2) *S is a nonempty subset of some metric space (X, d) .*

Then there exists a unique point-rationalizable strategy s^ of G if*

(i) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f^k(s) = s^*$ *for some $s \in S$, and*

(ii) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam}(\lambda^k(S)) = 0$.

Proof: Continuity of f on $S \subset (X, d)$ and condition (i) imply

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f^{k+1}(s) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(f^k(s)) = f(s^*)$$

Moreover

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f^{k+1}(s) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f^k(s) = s^*$$

and therefore

$$s^* = f(s^*)$$

Thus, $P(G)$ is nonempty by Observation 2 since s^* is a fixed point of f .

Suppose now on the contrary that $s^*, t^* \in P(G)$ with $s^* \neq t^*$, i.e., $\text{diam}(P(G)) > 0$. Since $P(G) \subset \lambda^k(S)$ for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$ we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam}(\lambda^k(S)) \geq \text{diam}(P(G)) > 0$$

A contradiction to condition (ii). \square

Theorem 2. *Given a game G such that*

(A1) *There exists a continuous best response function f .*

(A2') *S is a nonempty and compact subset of some metric space (X, d) .*

Then there exists a unique point-rationalizable strategy of G if and only if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(f^k(t), f^k(s)) = 0$$

for all $s, t \in S$.

Proof: Observe at first that each set $\lambda^k(S)$, $k \geq 0$, is compact and nonempty because continuity of f inherits compactness and nonemptiness. Since $\lambda^k(S) \subset \lambda^{k-1}(S)$ for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$, $P(G)$ is compact and nonempty as an infinite intersection of compact and nonempty nested sets, (cf. Theorem 2.36 and the corollary in Rudin 1976, p.38). Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{diam}(P(G)) &= \max_{s, t \in P(G)} d(s, t) \\ &= d(s^*, t^*) \end{aligned}$$

for some $s^*, t^* \in P(G)$. By continuity of d there exist $s, t \in S$ with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f^k(s) = s^*$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f^k(t) = t^*$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(f^k(s), f^k(t)) = d(s^*, t^*)$$

The assumption $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(f^k(s), f^k(t)) = 0$ implies then $\text{diam}(P(G)) = 0$, i.e., $P(G)$ is singlevalued. The only-if part is obvious. \square

Definition. *Fix some $T \in \mathbf{N}$.*

The best response function f is said to be T -contractive if f^T is contractive, i.e., $d(f^T(s), f^T(t)) < d(s, t)$ for all $s, t \in S$ with $s \neq t$.

*The best response function f is said to be a T -contraction if f^T is a contraction, i.e., there exists some $c \in (0, 1)$ such that $d(f^T(s), f^T(t)) \leq c * d(s, t)$ for all $s, t \in S$*

Theorem 3. *Given a game G such that*

(A1) There exists a continuous best response function f .

(A2') S is a nonempty and compact subset of some metric space (X, d) .

Then there exists a unique point-rationalizable strategy of G if the best response function f is T -contractive.

Proof: Proceed as in the proof of Theorem 2 and observe that there exist $s, t \in S$ with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f^k(s) = s^*$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f^k(t) = t^*$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(f^k(s), f^k(t)) &= d(s^*, t^*) \\ d(s^*, t^*) &= \text{diam}(P(G)) \end{aligned}$$

Continuity of f implies continuity of f^T and we have therefore

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(f^T(f^k(s)), f^T(f^k(t))) = d(f^T(s^*), f^T(t^*))$$

Since $f^T(s^*), f^T(t^*) \in P(G)$ we obtain

$$d(f(s^*), f(t^*)) \leq \text{diam}(P(G)) = d(s^*, t^*)$$

which is a contradiction to T -contractivity whenever $d(s^*, t^*) \neq 0$. Thus, $P(G)$ must be singlevalued. \square

Theorem 4. *Given a game G such that*

(A1') There exists a best response function f .

(A2'') S is nonempty and finite.

Then there exists a unique point-rationalizable strategy of G if and only if

$$f^m(s) = f^m(t)$$

for all $s, t \in S$ whereby $m = \#S$.

Proof: Delegated to the appendix.

Theorem 5. *Given a game G such that*

(A1) There exists a continuous best response function f .

(A2''') S is a nonempty, bounded, and complete subset of some metric space (X, d) .

Then there exists a unique point-rationalizable strategy of G if the best response function f is a T -contraction.

Proof: Delegated to the appendix.

Remark 1. At first glance the assumption of a best response function, i.e., a single-valued best response correspondence, appears as a severe restriction for the application of the obtained uniqueness results. However, these uniqueness results extend immediately to any game with a multi-valued best response correspondence such that this best response correspondence reduces after finitely many elimination-rounds (according to the definition of *point-rationalizability*) to a best response function for the remaining strategies.

Remark 2. In the proof of Theorem 5 (see appendix) we assure existence of *point-rationalizable* strategies by a fixed point theorem due to Bonsall (cf. Theorem 1.3 in Bonsall 1962) which is basically an extension of the famous Banach fixed point theorem from *1-contractions* to *T-contractions*. In contrast, the proofs of Theorem 1-4 include, respectively imply, their own fixed point theorems. For example, Theorem 3, combined with Observation 2, establishes the existence of a unique fixed point for *T-contractive* functions on compact sets. This result generalizes a fixed point theorem of Edelstein (cf. Remark 3.1. in Edelstein 1962, and Theorem 1.6 in Bonsall 1962) who proves the existence of a unique fixed point for *1-contractive* functions on compact sets.

4 Differentiable Best Response Functions

In this section we exploit the fact that for continuously differentiable individual best response functions *T-contraction* of f can be verified by conditions imposed on the partial derivatives of f^T .

Theorem 6. *Given a game G such that*

(A1'') Each S_i is a nonempty, compact, and convex subset of \mathbf{R} .

(A2''') Each individual best response function f_i is continuously differentiable.

Then there exists a unique point-rationalizable strategy of G

(i) if for each player i

$$\sum_{j \in I} \left| \frac{\partial f_i^T}{\partial s_j}(s) \right| < 1 \quad (1)$$

for all $s \in S$, for some $T \geq 1$, or

(ii) if for each player j

$$\sum_{i \in I} \left| \frac{\partial f_i^T}{\partial s_j}(s) \right| < 1 \quad (2)$$

for all $s \in S$, for some $T \geq 1$.

Proof: Delegated to the appendix.

Remark 1. The uniqueness conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 6 represent special applications of the mean-value inequality for functions $f^T : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$, i.e.,

$$\|f^T(s) - f^T(t)\| \leq |Df^T(r)|_M * \|s - t\|$$

whereby $Df^T(r) = \left(\frac{\partial f_i^T}{\partial s_j}(r) \right)_{i=1, \dots, I; j=1, \dots, I}$ denotes the matrix of first-order partial derivatives and $r \in S$ maximizes some matrix-norm $|\cdot|_M$ over the elements in

$$\{\lambda s + (1 - \lambda)t \mid \lambda \in [0, 1]\}$$

which is compatible with the norm $\|\cdot\|$. For example, condition (1) implies T -contraction of f in the *supremum norm* $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ because the *absolute row-sum norm* of a matrix is compatible with the *supremum norm* $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. Analogously, the condition (2) is implied by the fact that the *absolute column-sum norm* of a matrix is compatible with the *absolute value norm* $\|\cdot\|_1$. Consequently, whenever there is a compatible matrix-norm $|\cdot|_M$ for some norm $\|\cdot\|$ (with $S \subset (X, d)$ and $d(s, t) = \|s - t\|$) such that $|Df^T(r)|_M < 1$ for some $T \geq 1$, then uniqueness of the *point-rationalizable* solution is established. As another example for a *compatible matrix-norm* observe that the *spectrum norm* of a matrix, given by

$$|Df^T(r)|_M = \max \text{Eigenvalue} \left(Df^T(r) * (Df^T(r))^{trans} \right)$$

is compatible with the Euclidean norm $\|\cdot\|_2$. Thus, whenever the maximal singular value of $Df^T(r)$ is strictly smaller than 1 for all $r \in S$ the *point-rationalizable* solution must be unique.

Remark 2. The uniqueness conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 6 are most easily verified for 1 -contraction. For $T = 1$ inequality (1) becomes

$$\sum_{j \neq i} \left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial s_j}(s) \right| < 1$$

for all i and all $s \in S$, and inequality (2) becomes

$$\sum_{i \neq j} \left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial s_j}(s) \right| < 1$$

for all j and all $s \in S$. The former of these two contraction-conditions is already implied by Theorem 4 in Moulin (1984): Moulin shows in the proof of his Theorem 4 that an equivalent formulation of this contraction-condition (in terms of second-order partial derivatives of the utility functions) guarantees a unique *point-rationalizable* strategy.

5 An Economic Example: Cournot Competition with Three Firms

A Cournot duopoly with linear inverse demand functions possesses a unique Nash equilibrium as well as a unique *point-rationalizable* strategy. However, as Bernheim (1984) observes, any output between zero and the Monopoly-output is *point-rationalizable* when we consider Cournot oligopolies with three (or more) firms despite the fact that the Nash equilibrium remains unique regardless of the number of competitors. Basu (1992) extends Bernheim's result to a large class of symmetric Cournot oligopolies by showing that a wide range of output decisions becomes *point-rationalizable* if sufficiently many firms belong to the oligopoly. Therefore, since Cournot oligopolies are the standard models in industrial organization theory for the description of output competition among firms, it is quite disappointing that *point-rationalizability* performs here rather poorly as a predictive solution concept by admitting virtually any output decision.

For the remainder of this section we focus on Bernheim's result regarding Cournot competition with three firms and demonstrate that uniqueness of the *point-rationalizable* output decision can already be established under the assumption of marginal deviations from the original model description of Cournot competition. Moreover, our model provides an example for the usefulness of *T-contractivity*, $T \geq 1$, compared to mere *1-contractivity*: the best response function will be *2-contractive* but not *1-contractive* in the *supremum* or in the *absolute value norm*.

Consider a Cournot oligopoly of three firms such that the utility function of firm $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ is given by

$$U_i(s) = (P_i(s_i) - c) s_i \tag{3}$$

with $s_i \in [0, 1]$ as output, $c \in (0, 1)$ as marginal cost, and P_i as inverse demand function of firm i . Moreover, presume the following inverse demand functions

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(s) &= P_2(s) = \max\{0, 1 - s_1 - s_2 - s_3\} \\ P_3(s) &= \max\{0, 1 - s_1 - (1 - \varepsilon)s_2 - s_3\} \end{aligned}$$

for some given $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$. As individual best response functions we obtain then

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(s_{-1}) &= \max \{0, 0.5(1 - s_2 - s_3 - c)\} \\ f_2(s_{-2}) &= \max \{0, 0.5(1 - s_1 - s_3 - c)\} \\ f_3(s_{-3}) &= \max \{0, 0.5(1 - s_1 - (1 - \varepsilon)s_2 - c)\} \end{aligned}$$

For $\varepsilon = 0$ the standard Cournot oligopoly with linear inverse demand functions obtains for which Bernheim observes that any output decision between zero and the monopoly output, i.e., any individual strategy in the interval $[0, \frac{1-c}{2}]$, is *point rationalizable*. For example, the monopoly output is a firm's best response to zero outputs of the other firms whereas such a zero output can be vice versa justified as a firm's best response to monopoly outputs of the other firms, thereby closing the circle of *point rationalizable* reasoning.

Assume now that $\varepsilon > 0$. The output decision of firm 2 has then less impact on the demand for firm 3's product than in the original model of Cournot competition with linear inverse demand functions. Thus, we interpret ε here as a measure for the degree by which firm 2's product is not any longer a perfect substitute for firm 3's product on the market of firm 3.

Because the individual best response functions f_i are not differentiable everywhere - they have a *kink* at strategies s_{-i} where the interior and the boundary solutions of the utility maximization problem coincide - we can not immediately apply Theorem 6 to f . Consider therefore the functions $h_i : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(s_{-1}) &= 0.5(1 - s_2 - s_3 - c) \\ h_2(s_{-2}) &= 0.5(1 - s_1 - s_3 - c) \\ h_3(s_{-3}) &= 0.5(1 - s_1 - (1 - \varepsilon)s_2 - c) \end{aligned}$$

resulting from an unrestricted maximization of (3) over \mathbf{R} , and observe that *T-contractivity* of the unbounded maximizers $h = \times_{i \in I} h_i$ entails *T-contractivity* of the bounded maximizers f . Hence, we can establish *T-contractivity* of f by showing that h satisfies condition (i) or condition (ii) of Theorem 6.

Note that h is not *1-contractive* according to the conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 6; however, we can show *2-contraction* in the supremum norm (h^T satisfying condition (i) for $T = 2$) as well as in the absolute value norm (h^T satisfying condition (ii) for $T = 2$). To see this verify at first that the functions h_i^2 , $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, are given by

$$\begin{aligned} h_1^2(s) &= 0.25(2s_1 + (1 - \varepsilon)s_2 + s_3 + 2c) \\ h_2^2(s) &= 0.25(s_1 + (2 - \varepsilon)s_2 + s_3 + 2c) \\ h_3^2(s) &= 0.25(\varepsilon + (1 - \varepsilon)s_1 + s_2 + (2 - \varepsilon)s_3 + 2c) \end{aligned}$$

It is now easy to see that, e.g., condition (ii) is satisfied since

$$\sum_{j \in I} \left| \frac{\partial h_i^2}{\partial s_j}(s) \right| \leq 1 - 0.25\varepsilon$$

for all i and all $s \in S$. We can therefore conclude that there exists a unique *point-rationalizable* output decision in our model of Cournot competition with three firms whenever $\varepsilon > 0$. Moreover, firm i 's unique *point-rationalizable* strategy is given by its Nash equilibrium strategy

$$s_i^* = \frac{1-c}{4}$$

$i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

Remark. Recall the definition of the function h^T and observe that a partial derivative evaluated at s , $\frac{\partial h_i^T}{\partial s_j}(s)$, can be computed via successive applications of the chain-rule as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial h_i^1}{\partial s_j}(s) &= \frac{\partial h_i}{\partial s_j}(s) \\ \frac{\partial h_i^T}{\partial s_j}(s) &= \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{\partial h_i}{\partial s_k} \frac{\partial h_k^{T-1}}{\partial s_j}(s) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, instead of first determining the entire function h_1^2 in order to derive the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial h_1^2}{\partial s_1}$, $\frac{\partial h_1^2}{\partial s_2}$, and $\frac{\partial h_1^2}{\partial s_3}$, we could have obtained these partial derivatives more easily by the following computations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial h_1^2}{\partial s_1}(s) &= \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial s_2} \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial s_1}(s) + \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial s_3} \frac{\partial h_3}{\partial s_1}(s) = 0.25 + 0.25 = 0.5 \\ \frac{\partial h_1^2}{\partial s_2}(s) &= \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial s_2} \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial s_2}(s) + \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial s_3} \frac{\partial h_3}{\partial s_2}(s) = 0 + 0.25(1 - \varepsilon) = 0.25(1 - \varepsilon) \\ \frac{\partial h_1^2}{\partial s_3}(s) &= \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial s_2} \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial s_3}(s) + \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial s_3} \frac{\partial h_3}{\partial s_3}(s) = 0.25 + 0 = 0.25 \end{aligned}$$

6 Concluding Remarks

In this paper we have studied uniqueness conditions for the specific iterative solution concept of *point-rationalizability*. Different iterative solution concepts differ in their definition of *unreasonable* strategies. On the one hand, *rationalizability concepts* have in common that any strategy which is not a best response to some *belief* is regarded as *unreasonable*. However, there are different definitions of relevant *beliefs*: Besides the assumption of degenerated *point-beliefs* by *point-rationalizability* we can encounter, e.g.,

independent versus *arbitrarily correlated additive beliefs* (cf. Bernheim 1984, Pearce 1984), or *non-additive beliefs* (Ghirardato and Le Breton 1997). On the other hand, *dominance solution concepts* regard a strategy as *unreasonable* if it is dominated by another strategy. Here different definitions of *domination* - e.g., weakly versus strongly dominated, or/and dominated with respect to mixed versus pure strategies (cf. Moulin 1984, Börgers 1993) - give rise to different *dominance solution concepts*.

The uniqueness conditions of this paper with regard to *point-rationalizability* do not necessarily imply uniqueness for weaker iterative solution concepts like, e.g., *correlated rationalizability* (cf. Bernheim 1984, Pearce 1984) or *iterated elimination of strongly dominated strategies*. In contrast to these weaker iterative solution concepts *point-rationalizability* may eliminate strategies that appear intuitively reasonable. Consider the following payoff-matrix of a two-player game

B

	<i>beat</i>	<i>bite</i>
A	\$2 \$0	-\$1Mill \$1
	\$1 \$1	\$1 \$0
	-\$1Mill \$0	\$2 \$1

To *assist* is not a best choice against any point-belief of *A*: if *A* believed that *B* *beats* then *A* would *allow*, and if *A* believed that *B* *bites* then *A* would *avoid*. Moreover, when we go through further iterative steps of the *point-rationalizability* concept we arrive at *(avoid, bite)* as the unique *point-rationalizable* solution of the game. However, in this example all strategies survive *iterated elimination of strongly dominated strategies* and they are even *correlated rationalizable*: Suppose *A* conceived *beat* and *bite* as equally likely. Then it may be reasonable for *A* to *assist* because she could avoid by this choice the subjectively perceived 0.5 chance of losing 1Mill. which may in turn justify *B*'s decision to *beat*...

Despite these interpretational flaws there are three reasons why this paper's uniqueness results are interesting.

First, a unique *point-rationalizable* strategy is also the unique Nash equilibrium of the game. Thus, even when we are rather interested in equilibrium solutions, and not in iterative solutions, we can use the results of this paper to establish existence and uniqueness of Nash equilibria.

Second, as already argued in the introduction, *point-rationalizability* offers a possible

explanation of how players can coordinate themselves towards equilibrium play in *one-shot* strategic situations. We think that such an explanation of equilibrium play, even if sometimes flawed, is still favorable to the mere assumption that players won't be disappointed by the actual course of play in the solution of a game.

Finally, there exist several results in the literature that identify conditions under which different iterative solution concepts are equivalent. For example, the *nice games* of Moulin (1984), i.e., games with strictly quasiconcave utility functions and convex real-valued individual strategy sets, guarantee equivalence of the *point-rationalizable* solution and of the dominance solution that results from *iterated elimination of strongly dominated strategies*. Since the *iterated elimination of strongly dominated strategies* is the weakest iterative solution concept we can think of the unique *point rationalizable* solution of a *nice game* is the unique solution of this game according to any perceivable iterative solution concept. Milgrom and Roberts (1990) show that increasing utility differences and supermodular utility functions, as assumed in so-called *supermodular games*, imply (basically) equivalence of all iterative solution concepts if the *point rationalizable* solution is unique. Furthermore, Zimper (2003) generalizes Milgrom and Roberts' result to decreasing utility differences. Thus, for games satisfying those equivalence conditions this paper's uniqueness conditions for *point-rationalizability* imply a unique solution with regard to every iterative solution concept. Moreover, because the equivalence conditions in Milgrom and Roberts (1990) and in Zimper (2003) include uniqueness of the *point-rationalizable* solution, this paper's uniqueness results contribute directly to equivalence results for iterative solution concepts.

7 Appendix: More Proofs

Proof of Theorem 4: Let d be the discrete metric, i.e., $d(s, t) = 0$ for $s = t$ and $d(s, t) = 1$ else. Obviously, if $f^m(s) = f^m(t)$ for all $s, t \in S$ then $d(f^m(s), f^m(t)) < d(s, t)$ for all $s \neq t$ since $d(f^m(s), f^m(t)) = 0$. Hence, f is *m-contractive* and there exists a unique *point-rationalizable* solution by Theorem 3. It remains to show that *m-contractivity* is also necessary for uniqueness. Consider the finite sequence

$$s, f(s), f^2(s), \dots, f^m(s)$$

of $m + 1$ elements and observe that this sequence must contain $f^k(s)$ and $f^h(s)$, with $k < h$, such that $f^k(s) = f^h(s)$. If $f^{m-1}(s) \neq f^m(s)$ there can not exist a unique *point-rationalizable* solution because of $f^k(s), f^{k+1}(s), \dots, f^{h-1}(s), f^h(s) \in P(G)$ (cf. Observation 1). Consequently, a unique *point-rationalizable* solution requires $f^{m-1}(s) = f^m(s)$ and $f^{m-1}(t) = f^m(t)$ for any $s, t \in S$. Suppose now there exists a unique

point-rationalizable solution and assume $f^m(s) \neq f^m(t)$ for some $s, t \in S$. But since $f^{m-1}(s) = f^m(s)$ and $f^{m-1}(t) = f^m(t)$ Observation 1 implies $f^m(s), f^m(t) \in P(G)$. Thus, the condition $f^m(s) = f^m(t)$ is necessary for uniqueness. \square

Proof of Theorem 5: If S is complete then continuity and T -contraction of the best response function imply the existence of a (unique) fixed point $s^* = f(s^*)$ (cf. Theorem 1.3 in Bonsall 1962), i.e., $P(G)$ is nonempty. Furthermore, since S is bounded and $\lambda^k(S) \subset \lambda^{k-1}(S)$ for $k \in \mathbf{N}$, there exists for each set $\lambda^k(S)$ a finite diameter $\text{diam}(\lambda^k(S))$. Due to $\text{diam}(\lambda^k(S)) \geq d(s^k, t^k)$ for all $s^k, t^k \in \lambda^k(S)$, T -contraction implies $d(s^{k+T}, t^{k+T}) \leq c * \text{diam}(\lambda^k(S))$ for all $s^{k+T}, t^{k+T} \in \lambda^{k+T}(S)$. Observe now that

if there is some $s^{k+T}, t^{k+T} \in \lambda^{k+T}(S)$ with $d(s^{k+T}, t^{k+T}) = c * \text{diam}(\lambda^k(S))$ then $\text{diam}(\lambda^{k+T}(S)) = c * \text{diam}(\lambda^k(S))$, and

if $d(s^{k+T}, t^{k+T}) < c * \text{diam}(\lambda^k(S))$ for all $s^{k+T}, t^{k+T} \in \lambda^{k+T}(S)$ then

$$\text{diam}(\lambda^{k+T}(S)) \leq c * \text{diam}(\lambda^k(S))$$

Consequently

$$\text{diam}(\lambda^{k+T}(S)) \leq c * \text{diam}(\lambda^k(S))$$

for $k \geq 0$ and we obtain under the assumption $c < 1$ the desired uniqueness result

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam}(\lambda^k(S)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam}(\lambda^{n*T}(S)) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c^n * \text{diam}(S) = 0$$

\square

Proof of Theorem 6:

Part (i). Let $g_i(\lambda) = f_i^T(\lambda(s-t) + t)$, and observe that $g_i(\lambda)$ is continuously differentiable on $[0, 1]$. The mean-value inequality for real-valued functions with a real-valued domain implies

$$|g_i(1) - g_i(0)| \leq \left| \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \lambda}(\lambda^*) \right| * |1 - 0| \quad (4)$$

for some λ^* such that $\lambda^* = \arg \max_{[0,1]} \left| \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \lambda}(\lambda) \right|$. By an application of the chain-rule:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \lambda}(\lambda^*) &= \sum_{j \in I} \frac{\partial f_i^T}{\partial s_j}(\lambda^*(s_j - t_j) + t_j) * (s_j - t_j) \\ \left| \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \lambda}(\lambda^*) \right| &\leq \left| \sum_{j \in I} \frac{\partial f_i^T}{\partial s_j}(\lambda^*(s_j - t_j) + t_j) \right| * \|s - t\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

Substituting for the terms in inequality (4):

$$|f_i^T(s) - f_i^T(t)| \leq \left| \sum_{j \in I} \frac{\partial f_i^T}{\partial s_j}(r) \right| * \|s - t\|_\infty$$

with $r = \lambda^*(s - t) + t$. Since this is true by assumption for all $i \in I$ we obtain for the *supremum norm*

$$\|f^T(s) - f^T(t)\|_\infty \leq \left| \sum_{j \in I} \frac{\partial f_i^T}{\partial s_j}(r) \right| * \|s - t\|_\infty$$

Consequently, condition (i) of Theorem 6 implies *T-contraction* of f in the *supremum norm*.

Note that we have here *T-contraction*, and not only *T-contractivity*, because $\sum_{j \in I} \left| \frac{\partial f_i^T}{\partial s_j}(s) \right|$ is a continuous function such that it obtains a maximum on the compact set S . Consequently, if $\sum_{j \in I} \left| \frac{\partial f_i^T}{\partial s_j}(s) \right| < 1$ for all i and all $s \in S$ then there exists some $c < 1$ such that $\sum_{j \in I} \left| \frac{\partial f_i^T}{\partial s_j}(s) \right| \leq c$ for all i .

Part (ii) Let again $g_i(\lambda) = f_i^T(\lambda(s - t) + t)$, and observe that the mean-value inequality implies

$$|g_i(1) - g_i(0)| \leq \left| \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \lambda}(\lambda^i) \right| * |1 - 0|$$

for some $\lambda^i = \arg \max_{[0,1]} \left| \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \lambda}(\lambda) \right|$. By the chain-rule and substitution

$$|f_i^T(s) - f_i^T(t)| \leq \left| \sum_{j \in I} \frac{\partial f_i^T}{\partial s_j}(r^i) * (s_j - t_j) \right| \quad (5)$$

with $r^i = \lambda^i s + (1 - \lambda^i)t$. Summing up over all i and rearranging

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in I} |f_i^T(s) - f_i^T(t)| &\leq \sum_{i \in I} \left| \sum_{j \in I} \left(\frac{\partial f_i^T}{\partial s_j}(r^i) \right) * (s_j - t_j) \right| \\ \sum_{i \in I} |f_i^T(s) - f_i^T(t)| &\leq \max_{j \in I} \left\{ \left| \sum_{i \in I} \left(\frac{\partial f_i^T}{\partial s_j}(r^i) \right) \right| \right\} * \sum_{j \in I} |s_j - t_j| \\ \|f^T(s) - f^T(t)\|_1 &< \|s - t\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

Where the last step follows from the assumption $\sum_{i \in I} \left(\frac{\partial f_i^T}{\partial s_j}(r^i) \right) < 1$ for all j and all $r^i \in \{\lambda s + (1 - \lambda)t \mid \lambda \in [0,1]\}$. Consequently, $d(f^T(s), f^T(t)) < d(s, t)$ with d induced by the *absolute value norm*. Thus, condition (ii) of Theorem 6 implies *T-contraction* in the *absolute value norm*. \square

8 References

- Basu, K. (1992), "A characterization of the class of rationalizable equilibria of oligopoly games", *Economics Letters* **40**, 187-191.
- Berge, C. (1997), *Topological Spaces*. Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, New York.
- Bernheim, B.D. (1984), "Rationalizable Strategic Behavior", *Econometrica* **52**, 1007-1028.
- Bonsall, F.F. (1962), *Lectures On Some Fixed Point Theorems Of Functional Analysis*. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay.
- Börgers, T. (1993), "Pure Strategy Dominance", *Econometrica* **61**, 423-430.
- Edelstein, M. (1962), "On Fixed Points and Periodic Points under Contractive Mappings", *Journal London Math. Soc.*, **37**, 74-79.
- Ghirardato, P., and M. Le Breton (1997), "Choquet Rationality", mimeo.
- Guesnerie, R. (2002), "Anchoring Economic Predictions in Common Knowledge", *Econometrica* **70**, 439-480.
- Milgrom P. and J. Roberts (1990), "Rationalizability, Learning, And Equilibrium In Games With Strategic Complementaries", *Econometrica* **58**, 1255-1277.
- Moulin, H. (1984), "Dominance Solvability and Cournot Stability", *Mathematical Social Sciences* **7**, 83-102.
- Nash, J. F. (1950), "Non-Cooperative Games.", *Ph.D. dissertation Princeton University*. Reprinted (facsimile) as chapter 6 in H.W. Kuhn, and S. Nasar [eds.] (2002), *The Essential John Nash*. Princeton University Press, Princeton and Oxford.
- Pearce, D.G. (1984), "Rationalizable Strategic Behavior And The Problem Of Perfection", *Econometrica* **52**, 1029-1050.
- Rudin, W. (1976), *Principles Of Mathematical Analysis*. 3rd edition. McGraw-Hill Book Company.
- Tan, T., and S.R. Costa Da Werlang (1988), "On Aumann's Notion of Common Knowledge, an Alternative Approach", *Journal of Economic Theory* **45**, 370-391.
- Topkis, D. (1979), "Equilibrium Points in Nonzero-Sum n -Person Submodular Games", *SIAM Journal of Control and Optimization* **17**, 773-787.

Vives, X. (1990), "Nash Equilibrium with Strategic Complementarities", *Journal of Mathematical Economics* **19**, 305-321.

Zimper, A. (2003), "Dominance-solvable Lattice Games", *mimeo*.

SONDERFORSCHUNGSBereich 504 WORKING PAPER SERIES

Nr.	Author	Title
03-32	Siegfried K. Berninghaus Christian Korth Stefan Napel	Reciprocity - an indirect evolutionary analysis
03-31	Peter Albrecht Cemil Kantar	Random Walk oder Mean Reversion? Eine statistische Analyse des Kurs/Gewinn-Verhältnisses für den deutschen Aktienmarkt
03-30	Jürgen Eichberger David Kelsey Burkhard Schipper	Ambiguity and Social Interaction
03-29	Ulrich Schmidt Alexander Zimmer	Security And Potential Level Preferences With Thresholds
03-28	Alexander Zimmer	Uniqueness Conditions for Point-Rationalizable Solutions of Games with Metrizable Strategy Sets
03-27	Jürgen Eichberger David Kelsey	Sequential Two-Player Games with Ambiguity
03-26	Alain Chateauneuf Jürgen Eichberger Simon Grant	A Simple Axiomatization and Constructive Representation Proof for Choquet Expected Utility
03-25	Volker Stocké	Informationsverfügbarkeit und Response-Effects: Die Prognose von Einflüssen unterschiedlich kategorisierter Antwortskalen durch Antwortsicherheiten und Antwortlatenzen
03-24	Volker Stocké	Entstehungsbedingungen von Antwortverzerrungen durch soziale Erwünschtheit. Ein Vergleich der Prognosen der Rational-Choice Theorie und des Modells der Frame-Selektion
03-23	Daniel Schunk	Modeling the Use of Nonrenewable Resources Using a Genetic Algorithm
03-22	Brian Deal Daniel Schunk	Spatial Dynamic Modeling and Urban Land Use Transformation: An Ecological Simulation Approach to Assessing the Costs of Urban Sprawl

SONDERFORSCHUNGSBereich 504 WORKING PAPER SERIES

Nr.	Author	Title
03-21	Thomas Gschwend Franz Urban Pappi	Stimmensplitting und Koalitionswahl
03-20	Thomas Langer Martin Weber	Does Binding or Feedback Influence Myopic Loss Aversion - An Experimental Analysis
03-19	Peter Albrecht Carsten Weber	Asset/Liability Management of German Life Insurance Companies: A Value-at-Risk Approach in the Presence of Interest Rate Guarantees
03-18	Markus Glaser	Online Broker Investors: Demographic Information, Investment Strategy, Portfolio Positions, and Trading Activity
03-17	Markus Glaser Martin Weber	September 11 and Stock Return Expectations of Individual Investors
03-16	Siegfried K. Berninghaus Bodo Vogt	Network Formation and Coordination Games
03-15	Johannes Keller Herbert Bless	When negative expectancies turn into negative performance: The role of ease of retrieval.
03-14	Markus Glaser Markus Nöth Martin Weber	Behavioral Finance
03-13	Hendrik Hakenes	Banks as Delegated Risk Managers
03-12	Elena Carletti	The Structure of Bank Relationships, Endogenous Monitoring and Loan Rates
03-11	Isabel Schnabel	The Great Banks' Depression - Deposit Withdrawals in the German Crisis of 1931
03-10	Alain Chateauneuf Jürgen Eichberger Simon Grant	Choice under Uncertainty with the Best and Worst in Mind: Neo-additive Capacities.
03-09	Peter Albrecht Carsten Weber	Combined Accumulation- and Decumulation-Plans with Risk-Controlled Capital Protection

SONDERFORSCHUNGSBereich 504 WORKING PAPER SERIES

Nr.	Author	Title
03-08	Hans-Martin von Gaudecker Carsten Weber	Surprises in a Growing Market Niche - An Evaluation of the German Private Annuities Market
03-07	Markus Glaser Martin Weber	Overconfidence and Trading Volume
03-06	Markus Glaser Thomas Langer Martin Weber	On the trend recognition and forecasting ability of professional traders
03-05	Geschäftsstelle	Jahresbericht 2002
03-04	Oliver Kirchkamp Rosemarie Nagel	No imitation - on local and group interaction, learning and reciprocity in prisoners break
03-03	Michele Bernasconi Oliver Kirchkamp Paolo Paruolo	Expectations and perceived causality in fiscal policy: an experimental analysis using real world data
03-02	Peter Albrecht	Risk Based Capital Allocation
03-01	Peter Albrecht	Risk Measures
02-51	Peter Albrecht Ivica Dus Raimond Maurer Ulla Ruckpaul	Cost Average-Effekt: Fakt oder Mythos?
02-50	Thomas Langer Niels Nauhauser	Zur Bedeutung von Cost-Average-Effekten bei Einzahlungsplänen und Portefeulleumschichtungen
02-49	Alexander Klos Thomas Langer Martin Weber	Über kurz oder lang - Welche Rolle spielt der Anlagehorizont bei Investitionsentscheidungen?
02-48	Isabel Schnabel	The German Twin Crisis of 1931
02-47	Axel Börsch-Supan Annamaria Lusardi	Saving Viewed from a Cross-National Perspective
02-46	Isabel Schnabel Hyun Song Shin	Foreshadowing LTCM: The Crisis of 1763

SONDERFORSCHUNGSBereich 504 WORKING PAPER SERIES

Nr.	Author	Title
02-45	Ulrich Koch	Inkrementaler Wandel und adaptive Dynamik in Regelsystemen
02-44	Alexander Klos	Die Risikoprämie am deutschen Kapitalmarkt
02-43	Markus Glaser Martin Weber	Momentum and Turnover: Evidence from the German Stock Market
02-42	Mohammed Abdellaoui Frank Voßmann Martin Weber	An Experimental Analysis of Decision Weights in Cumulative Prospect Theory under Uncertainty
02-41	Carlo Kraemer Martin Weber	To buy or not to buy: Why do people buy too much information?
02-40	Nikolaus Beck	Kumulation und Verweildauerabhängigkeit von Regeländerungen
02-39	Eric Igou	The Role of Lay Theories of Affect Progressions in Affective Forecasting
02-38	Eric Igou Herbert Bless	My future emotions versus your future emotions: The self-other effect in affective forecasting
02-37	Stefan Schwarz Dagmar Stahlberg Sabine Sczesny	Denying the foreseeability of an event as a means of self-protection. The impact of self-threatening outcome information on the strength of the hindsight bias
02-36	Susanne Abele Herbert Bless Karl-Martin Ehrhart	Social Information Processing in Strategic Decision Making: Why Timing Matters
02-35	Joachim Winter	Bracketing effects in categorized survey questions and the measurement of economic quantities
02-34	Joachim Winter	Design effects in survey-based measures of household consumption
02-33	Stefan Schwarz Dagmar Stahlberg	Motivational influences on the strength of the hindsight bias

SONDERFORSCHUNGSBereich 504 WORKING PAPER SERIES

Nr.	Author	Title
02-32	Stefan Schwarz Dagmar Stahlberg	Strength of hindsight bias as a consequence of meta-cognitions
02-31	Roman Grunwald	Inter-Organisationales Lernen und die Integration spezialisierten Wissens in Kooperationen - Eine empirische Untersuchung anhand von kooperativen Entwicklungsprojekten
02-30	Martin Hellwig	The Relation Between Real Wage Rates and Employment: An Intertemporal General-Equilibrium Analysis
02-29	Moshe Ben-Akiva Daniel McFadden Kenneth Train Axel Börsch-Supan	Hybrid Choice Models: Progress and Challenges
02-28	Angelika Eymann Axel Börsch-Supan Rob Euwals	Risk Attitude, Impatience, and Asset Choice
02-27	Axel Börsch-Supan Alexander Ludwig Joachim Winter	Aging and International Capital Flows
02-26	Rüdiger F. Pohl Stefan Schwarz Sabine Sczesny Dagmar Stahlberg	Gustatory hindsight bias
02-25	Axel Börsch-Supan	What We Know and What We Do NOT Know About the Willingness to Provide Self-Financed Old-Age Insurance
02-24	Florian Heiss	Specification(s) of Nested Logit Models
02-23	Axel Börsch-Supan	Kann die Finanz- und Sozialpolitik die Auswirkungen der Bevölkerungsalterung auf den Arbeitsmarkt lindern?
02-22	Tito Boeri Axel Börsch-Supan Guido Tabellini	Would you Like to Reform the Pension System? The Opinions of European Citizens

SONDERFORSCHUNGSBereich 504 WORKING PAPER SERIES

Nr.	Author	Title
02-21	Axel Börsch-Supan Florian Heiss Miki Seko	Housing Demand in Germany and Japan - Paper in memoriam of Stephen Mayo
02-20	Siegfried K. Berninghaus Karl-Martin Ehrhart	The power of ESS: An experimental study
02-19	Douglas Gale Martin Hellwig	Competitive Insurance Markets with Asymmetric Information: A Cournot-Arrow-Debreu Approach*
02-18	Michele Bernasconi Oliver Kirchkamp	The Expectations view on fiscal policy - An experiment using real world data
02-17	Oliver Kirchkamp Rosemarie Nagel	Reinforcement, repeated games, and local interaction
02-16	Volker Stocké	Die Vorhersage von Fragenreihenfolgeeffekten durch Antwortlatenzen: Eine Validierungsstudie
02-15	Thomas Kittsteiner Jörg Nikutta Eyal Winter	Discounting in Sequential Auctions
02-14	Christian Ewerhart	Banks, Internal Models and the Problem of Adverse Selection
02-13	Christian Ewerhart Eyal Winter	Limited Backward Induction as an Expression of Bayesian Rationality
02-12	Christian Ewerhart	Enabling Goal-Directed Planning and Control: Experiences with the Implementation of Value Management in an Internationally Operating Stock Exchange
02-11	Christian Ewerhart Karsten Fieseler	Procurement Auctions and Unit-Price Contracts
02-10	Susanne Abele	How to Influence Cooperation Subtly
02-01	Geschäftsstelle	Jahresbericht 2001

SONDERFORSCHUNGSBereich 504 WORKING PAPER SERIES

Nr.	Author	Title
02-09	Volker Stocké	Soziale Erwünschtheit bei der Erfassung von Einstellungen gegenüber Ausländern. Theoretische Prognosen und deren empirische Überprüfung
02-08	Volker Stocké Bettina Langfeldt	Ex-post Implementation with Interdependent Valuations
02-07	Benny Moldovanu Christian Ewerhart	A Stylized Model of the German UMTS Auction
02-06	Benny Moldovanu Aner Sela	Contest Architecture
02-05	Benny Moldovanu Christian Ewerhart	The German UMTS Design: Insights From Multi-Object Auction Theory
02-04	Alex Possajennikov	Cooperative Prisoners and Aggressive Chickens: Evolution of Strategies and Preferences in 2x2 Games
02-03	Alex Possajennikov	Two-Speed Evolution of Strategies and Preferences in Symmetric Games
02-02	Markus Ruder Herbert Bless	Mood and the reliance on the ease of retrieval heuristic
01-52	Martin Hellwig Klaus M. Schmidt	Discrete-Time Approximations of the Holmström-Milgrom Brownian-Motion Model of Intertemporal Incentive Provision
01-51	Martin Hellwig	The Role of Boundary Solutions in Principal-Agent Problems with Effort Costs Depending on Mean Returns
01-50	Siegfried K. Berninghaus	Evolution of conventions - some theoretical and experimental aspects
01-49	Dezső Szalay	Procurement with an Endogenous Type Distribution
01-48	Martin Weber Heiko Zuchel	How Do Prior Outcomes Affect Risky Choice? Further Evidence on the House-Money Effect and Escalation of Commitment

SONDERFORSCHUNGSBereich 504 WORKING PAPER SERIES

Nr.	Author	Title
01-47	Nikolaus Beck Alfred Kieser	The Complexity of Rule Systems, Experience, and Organizational Learning
01-46	Martin Schulz Nikolaus Beck	Organizational Rules and Rule Histories
01-45	Nikolaus Beck Peter Walgenbach	Formalization and ISO 9000 - Changes in the German Machine Building Industry
01-44	Anna Maffioletti Ulrich Schmidt	The Effect of Elicitation Methods on Ambiguity Aversion: An Experimental Investigation
01-43	Anna Maffioletti Michele Santoni	Do Trade Union Leaders Violate Subjective Expected Utility? Some Insights from Experimental Data
01-42	Axel Börsch-Supan	Incentive Effects of Social Security Under an Uncertain Disability Option
01-41	Carmela Di Mauro Anna Maffioletti	Reaction to Uncertainty and Market Mechanism: Experimental Evidence
01-40	Marcel Normann Thomas Langer	Altersvorsorge, Konsumwunsch und mangelnde Selbstdisziplin: Zur Relevanz deskriptiver Theorien für die Gestaltung von Altersvorsorgeprodukten
01-39	Heiko Zuchel	What Drives the Disposition Effect?
01-38	Karl-Martin Ehrhart	European Central Bank Operations: Experimental Investigation of the Fixed Rate Tender
01-37	Karl-Martin Ehrhart	European Central Bank Operations: Experimental Investigation of Variable Rate Tenders
01-36	Karl-Martin Ehrhart	A Well-known Rationing Game
01-35	Peter Albrecht Raimond Maurer	Self-Annuitization, Ruin Risk in Retirement and Asset Allocation: The Annuity Benchmark
01-34	Daniel Houser Joachim Winter	Time preference and decision rules in a price search experiment

SONDERFORSCHUNGSBereich 504 WORKING PAPER SERIES

Nr.	Author	Title
01-33	Christian Ewerhart	Iterated Weak Dominance in Strictly Competitive Games of Perfect Information
01-32	Christian Ewerhart	THE K-DIMENSIONAL FIXED POINT THEOREM OF PROVABILITY LOGIC
01-31	Christian Ewerhart	A Decision-Theoretic Characterization of Iterated Weak Dominance
01-30	Christian Ewerhart	Heterogeneous Awareness and the Possibility of Agreement
01-29	Christian Ewerhart	An Example for a Game Involving Unawareness: The Tragedy of Romeo and Juliet
01-28	Christian Ewerhart	Backward Induction and the Game-Theoretic Analysis of Chess
01-27	Eric Igou Herbert Bless	About the Importance of Arguments, or: Order Effects and Conversational Rules
01-26	Heiko Zuchel Martin Weber	The Disposition Effect and Momentum
01-25	Volker Stocké	An Empirical Test of the Contingency Model for the Explanation of Heuristic-Based Framing-Effects
01-24	Volker Stocké	The Influence of Frequency Scales on the Response Behavior. A Theoretical Model and its Empirical Examination
01-23	Volker Stocké	An Empirical Examination of Different Interpretations of the Prospect Theory's Framing-Hypothesis
01-22	Volker Stocké	Socially Desirable Response Behavior as Rational Choice: The Case of Attitudes Towards Foreigners
01-21	Phillippe Jehiel Benny Moldovanu	License Auctions and Market Structure
01-20	Phillippe Jehiel Benny Moldovanu	The European UMTS/IMT-2000 License Auctions

SONDERFORSCHUNGSBereich 504 WORKING PAPER SERIES

Nr.	Author	Title
01-19	Arieh Gavious Benny Moldovanu Aner Sela	Bid Costs and Endogenous Bid Caps
01-18	Benny Moldovanu Karsten Fieseler Thomas Kittsteiner	Partnerships, Lemons and Efficient Trade
01-17	Raimond Maurer Martin Pitzer Steffen Sebastian	Construction of a Transaction Based Real Estate Index for the Paris Housing Market
01-16	Martin Hellwig	The Impact of the Number of Participants on the Provision of a Public Good
01-15	Thomas Kittsteiner	Partnerships and Double Auctions with Interdependent Valuations
01-14	Axel Börsch-Supan Agar Brugiavini	Savings: The Policy Debate in Europe
01-13	Thomas Langer	Fallstudie zum rationalen Entscheiden: Contingent Valuation und der Fall der Exxon Valdez
01-12	Peter Albrecht Raimond Maurer Ulla Ruckpaul	On the Risks of Stocks in the Long Run: A Probabilistic Approach Based on Measures of Shortfall Risk
01-11	Peter Albrecht Raimond Maurer	Zum systematischen Vergleich von Rentenversicherung und Fondsentnahmep länen unter dem Aspekt des Kapitalverzehrrisikos - der Fall nach Steuern
01-10	Gyöngyi Bugár Raimond Maurer	International Equity Portfolios and Currency Hedging: The Viewpoint of German and Hungarian Investors
01-09	Erich Kirchler Boris Maciejovsky Martin Weber	Framing Effects on Asset Markets - An Experimental Analysis -

SONDERFORSCHUNGSBereich 504 WORKING PAPER SERIES

Nr.	Author	Title
01-08	Axel Börsch-Supan Alexander Ludwig Joachim Winter	Aging, pension reform, and capital flows: A multi-country simulation model
01-07	Axel Börsch-Supan Annette Reil-Held Ralf Rodepeter Reinhold Schnabel Joachim Winter	The German Savings Puzzle
01-06	Markus Glaser	Behavioral Financial Engineering: eine Fallstudie zum Rationalen Entscheiden
01-05	Peter Albrecht Raimond Maurer	Zum systematischen Vergleich von Rentenversicherung und Fondsentnahmep länen unter dem Aspekt des Kapitalverzehrrisikos
01-04	Thomas Hintz Dagmar Stahlberg Stefan Schwarz	Cognitive processes that work in hindsight: Meta-cognitions or probability-matching?
01-03	Dagmar Stahlberg Sabine Sczesny Friederike Braun	Name your favourite musician: Effects of masculine generics and of their alternatives in german
01-02	Sabine Sczesny Sandra Spreemann Dagmar Stahlberg	The influence of gender-stereotyped perfumes on the attribution of leadership competence
01-01	Geschäftsstelle	Jahresbericht 2000
00-51	Angelika Eymann	Portfolio Choice and Knowledge
00-50	Oliver Kirchkamp Rosemarie Nagel	Repeated Game Strategies in Local and Group Prisoner's Dilemma
00-49	Thomas Langer Martin Weber	The Impact of Feedback Frequency on Risk Taking: How general is the Phenomenon?
00-48	Niklas Siebenmorgen Martin Weber	The Influence of Different Investment Horizons on Risk Behavior

SONDERFORSCHUNGSBereich 504 WORKING PAPER SERIES

Nr.	Author	Title
00-47	Roman Inderst Christian Laux	Incentives in Internal Capital Markets
00-46	Niklas Siebenmorgen Martin Weber	A Behavioral Approach to the Asset Allocation Puzzle
00-45	Thomas Langer Rakesh Sarin Martin Weber	The Retrospective Evaluation of Payment Sequences: Duration Neglect and Peak-and-End-Effects
00-44	Axel Börsch-Supan	Soziale Sicherung: Herausforderungen an der Jahrhundertwende
00-43	Rolf Elgeti Raimond Maurer	Zur Quantifizierung der Risikoprämien deutscher Versicherungsaktien im Kontext eines Multifaktorenmodells
00-42	Martin Hellwig	Nonlinear Incentive Contracting in Walrasian Markets: A Cournot Approach
00-41	Tone Dieckmann	A Dynamic Model of a Local Public Goods Economy with Crowding
00-40	Claudia Keser Bodo Vogt	Why do experimental subjects choose an equilibrium which is neither risk nor payoff dominant
00-39	Christian Dustmann Oliver Kirchkamp	The Optimal Migration Duration and Activity Choice after Re-migration
00-38	Niklas Siebenmorgen Elke U. Weber Martin Weber	Communicating Asset Risk: How the format of historic volatility information affects risk perception and investment decisions
00-37	Siegfried K. Berninghaus	The impact of monopolistic wage setting on innovation and market structure
00-36	Siegfried K. Berninghaus Karl-Martin Ehrhart	Coordination and information: Recent experimental evidence
00-35	Carlo Kraemer Markus Nöth Martin Weber	Information Aggregation with Costly Information and Random Ordering: Experimental Evidence

SONDERFORSCHUNGSBereich 504 WORKING PAPER SERIES

Nr.	Author	Title
00-34	Markus Nöth Martin Weber	Information Aggregation with Random Ordering: Cascades and Overconfidence
00-33	Tone Dieckmann Ulrich Schwalbe	Dynamic Coalition Formation and the Core
00-32	Martin Hellwig	Corporate Governance and the Financing of Investment for Structural Change
00-31	Peter Albrecht Thorsten Göbel	Rentenversicherung versus Fondsentnahmepläne, oder: Wie groß ist die Gefahr, den Verzehr des eigenen Vermögens zu überleben?
00-30	Roman Inderst Holger M. Müller Karl Wärneryd	Influence Costs and Hierarchy
00-29	Dezső Szalay	Optimal Delegation
00-28	Dezső Szalay	Financial Contracting, R&D and Growth
00-27	Axel Börsch-Supan	Rentabilitätsvergleiche im Umlage- und Kapitaldeckungsverfahren: Konzepte, empirische Ergebnisse, sozialpolitische Konsequenzen
00-26	Axel Börsch-Supan Annette Reil-Held	How much is transfer and how much insurance in a pay-as-you-go system? The German Case.
00-25	Axel Börsch-Supan	Rentenreform und die Bereitschaft zur Eigenvorsorge: Umfrageergebnisse in Deutschland
00-24	Christian Ewerhart	Chess-like games are dominancesolvable in at most two steps
00-23	Christian Ewerhart	An Alternative Proof of Marshall's Rule
00-22	Christian Ewerhart	Market Risks, Internal Models, and Optimal Regulation: Does Backtesting Induce Banks to Report Their True Risks?
00-21	Axel Börsch-Supan	A Blue Print for Germany's Pension Reform
00-20	Axel Börsch-Supan	Data and Research on Retirement in Germany

SONDERFORSCHUNGSBereich 504 WORKING PAPER SERIES

Nr.	Author	Title
00-19	Henning Plessner Tilmann Betsch	Sequential effects in important sport-decisions: The case of penalties in soccer
00-18	Susanne Haberstroh Ulrich Kühnen Daphna Oyserman Norbert Schwarz	Is the interdependent self a better communicator than the independent self? Self-construal and the observation of conversational norms
00-17	Tilmann Betsch Susanne Haberstroh Connie Höhle	Explaining and Predicting Routinized Decision Making: A Review of Theories
00-16	Susanne Haberstroh Tilmann Betsch Henk Aarts	When guessing is better than thinking: Multiple bases for frequency judgments
00-15	Axel Börsch-Supan Angelika Eymann	Household Portfolios in Germany
00-14	Annette Reil-Held	Einkommen und Sterblichkeit in Deutschland: Leben Reiche länger?
00-13	Nikolaus Beck Martin Schulz	Comparing Rule Histories in the U.S. and in Germany: Searching for General Principles of Organizational Rules
00-12	Volker Stocké	Framing ist nicht gleich Framing. Eine Typologie unterschiedlicher Framing-Effekte und Theorien zu deren Erklärung
00-11	Oliver Kirchkamp Rosemarie Nagel	Local and Group Interaction in Prisoners' Dilemmas
00-10	Oliver Kirchkamp Benny Moldovanu	An experimental analysis of auctions with interdependent valuations
00-09	Oliver Kirchkamp	WWW Experiments for Economists, a Technical Introduction
00-08	Alain Chateaufneuf Alain Chateaufneuf	Organizational Learning through Rule Adaptation: From the Behavioral Theory to Transactive Organizational Learning

SONDERFORSCHUNGSBereich 504 WORKING PAPER SERIES

Nr.	Author	Title
00-07	Raimond Maurer Steffen Sebastian	Inflation Risk Analysis of European Real Estate Securities
00-06	Martin Hellwig	Costly State Verification: The Choice Between Ex Ante and Ex Post Verification Mechanisms
00-05	Peter Albrecht Raimond Maurer	100% Aktien zur Altersvorsorge - Über die Langfristrisiken einer Aktienanlage
00-04	Douglas Gale	Aging and the Pension Crisis: Flexibilization through Capital Markets
00-03	Axel Börsch-Supan	Data and Research on Saving in Germany
00-02	Raimond Maurer Alexander Mertz	Internationale Diversifikation von Aktien- und Anleiheportfolios aus der Perspektive deutscher Investoren
00-01	Office SFB504	Jahresbericht 1999
99-89	Holger M. Müller Roman Inderst	Project Bundling, Liquidity Spillovers, and Capital Market Discipline
99-88	Raimond Maurer Gyöngyi Bugár	Efficient Risk Reducing Strategies by International Diversification: Evidence from a Central European Emerging Market
99-87	Berit Ernst Alfred Kieser	In Search of Explanations for the Consulting Explosion. A Critical Perspective on Managers' Decisions to Contract a Consultancy
99-86	Martin Hellwig Andreas Immen	Wage Growth, Productivity Growth, and the Evolution of Employment
99-85	Siegfried K. Berninghaus Werner Gueth Claudia Keser	Decentralized or Collective Bargaining in a Strategy Experiment
99-84	Jan Vleugels	Bidding Against an Unknown Number of Competitors With Affiliated Information
99-83	Stefan Schwarz Ulf-Dietrich Reips	Drop-out wegen JavaScript:

SONDERFORSCHUNGSBereich 504 WORKING PAPER SERIES

Nr.	Author	Title
99-82	Holger M. Müller Karl Wärneryd	Inside vs Outside Ownership - A Political Theory of the Firm
99-81	Ralf Rodepeter Joachim Winter	Rules of thumb in life-cycle savings models
99-80	Michael Adam Raimond Maurer	Risk Value Analysis of Covered Short Call and Protective Put Portfolio Strategies
99-79	Peter Albrecht	Rendite oder Sicherheit in der Altersversorgung - unvereinbare Gegensätze?
99-78	Karsten Fieseler	The Efficient Bilateral Trade of an Indivisible Good: Successively Arriving Information
99-77	Karsten Fieseler	Optimal Leasing Durations: Options for Extension
99-76	Peter Albrecht Raimond Maurer	Zur Bedeutung einer Ausfallbedrohtheit von Versicherungskontrakten - ein Beitrag zur Behavioral Insurance
99-75	Benny Moldovanu Aner Sela	The Optimal Allocation of Prizes in Contests
99-74	Phillipe Jehiel Benny Moldovanu	Efficient Design with Interdependent Valuations
99-73	Phillipe Jehiel Benny Moldovanu	A Note on Revenue Maximization and Efficiency in Multi-Object Auctions
99-72	Eva Brit Kramer Martin Weber	Über kurz oder lang - Spielt der Anlagehorizont eine berechnete Rolle bei der Beurteilung von Investments?
99-71	Karsten Fieseler Thomas Kittsteiner Benny Moldovanu	Partnerships, Lemons and Efficient Trade
99-70	Dagmar Stahlberg Sabine Sczesny Stefan Schwarz	Exculpating Victims and the Reversal of Hindsight Bias

SONDERFORSCHUNGSBereich 504 WORKING PAPER SERIES

Nr.	Author	Title
99-69	Karl-Martin Ehrhart Claudia Keser	Mobility and cooperation: on the run
99-68	Roman Inderst Holger M. Müller	Delegation of Control Rights, Ownership Concentration, and the Decline of External Finance
99-67	Eric Igou Herbert Bless Michaela Wänke	Ursachen der Verwässerung oder: Konversationslogische Aspekte des "Dilution-Effektes"
99-66	Stefan Schwarz Dagmar Stahlberg	Auswirkungen des Hindsight Bias auf ökonomische Entscheidungen
99-65	Susanne Abele Karl-Martin Ehrhart	Why Timing Matters: Differential Effects of Uncertainty about the Outcome of Past versus Current Events
99-64	Thomas Langer Martin Weber	Prospect-Theory, Mental Accounting and Differences in Aggregated and Segregated Evaluation of Lottery Portfolios
99-63	Andreas Laschke Martin Weber	Der "Overconfidence Bias" und seine Konsequenzen in Finanzmärkten
99-62	Nikolaus Beck Peter Walgenbach	From Statistical Quality Control, over Quality Systems to Total Quality Management - The Institutionalization of a New Management Approach
99-61	Paul Povel Michael Raith	Endogenous Debt Contracts With Undistorted Incentives
99-60	Nikolaus Beck Alfred Kieser	Unspectacular Organizational Change in Normal Times: Rule Change as a Routine Activity
99-59	Roman Inderst Holger M. Müller	Why Peaches Must Circulate Longer than Lemons
99-58	Roman Inderst	Bargaining with Sequential Buyers under Incomplete Information
99-57	Roman Inderst	Bargaining with a Possibly Committed Seller

SONDERFORSCHUNGSBereich 504 WORKING PAPER SERIES

Nr.	Author	Title
99-56	Roman Inderst	Efficiency Wages under Adverse Selection and the Role of Rigid Wages
99-55	Daniel Probst	Evolution, Automata and Repeated Games
99-54	Christian Laux Daniel Probst	The Ambiguous Effects of Rankings: Strategically Biased Forecasting by Advisers
99-53	Martin Hellwig Andreas Irmen	Endogenous Technical Change in a Competitive Economy
99-52	Roman Inderst Holger M. Müller	Competitive Search Markets with Adverse Selection
99-51	Abdolkarim Sadrieh Werner Gueth Peter Hammerstein Stevan Harnard Ulrich Hoffrage Bettina Kuon Bertrand R. Munier Peter M. Todd Massimo Warglien Martin Weber	Is there evidence for an adaptive toolbox?
99-50	Ulrich Hoffrage Gerd Gigerenzer	How to Foster Diagnostic Insight in Experts
99-49	Martin Lages Ulrich Hoffrage Gerd Gigerenzer	Intransitivity of fast and frugal heuristics
99-48	Axel Börsch-Supan Joachim Winter	Pension reform, savings behavior and corporate governance
99-47	Craig R. Fox Martin Weber	Ambiguity Aversion, Comparative Ignorance, and the Role of Context
99-46	Manfred Hassebrauck Cornelia Vogt Michael Diehl	Der Einfluß von Prototypen auf die Informationssuche bei Entscheidungen

SONDERFORSCHUNGSBereich 504 WORKING PAPER SERIES

Nr.	Author	Title
99-45	Manfred Hassebrauck Cornelia Vogt Michael Diehl	Das "prototype matching"-Modell des Entscheidungsverhaltens: Der Einfluß kognitiver Belastung, Zeitdruck und Stimmung
99-44	Axel Börsch-Supan Patrizia Tumbarello Robert Palacios	Pension systems in the Middle East and North Africa: A window of opportunity
99-43	Reinhold Schnabel	Vermögen und Ersparnis im Lebenszyklus in Westdeutschland
99-42	Reinhold Schnabel	The Declining Participation in the German PAYG-Pension System
99-41	Reinhold Schnabel	Social Security Reform and Intergenerational Redistribution in Germany
99-40	Reinhold Schnabel	The Golden Years of Social Security – Life-cycle Income, Pensions and Savings in Germany
99-39	Stefan Schwarz Sabine Sczesny Dagmar Stahlberg	Der Hindsight Bias bei gustatorischen Entscheidungen
99-38	Axel Börsch-Supan Annette Reil-Held	Family Resources in Retirement. Germany
99-37	Axel Börsch-Supan Rob Euwals Angelika Eymann	Portfolio Choice with Behavioral Decision Mechanisms
99-36	Axel Börsch-Supan	Template for International Savings Comparisons Project
99-35	Stefan Schwarz Dagmar Stahlberg	Hindsight Bias: The Role of Perfect Memory and Meta-Cognitions
99-34	Dagmar Stahlberg Stefan Schwarz	Would I Have Known It All Along if I Would Hate to Know It? The Hindsight Bias in Situations of High and Low Self Esteem Relevance

SONDERFORSCHUNGSBereich 504 WORKING PAPER SERIES

Nr.	Author	Title
99-33	Ulrich Hoffrage Ralph Hertwig Gerd Gigerenzer	Hindsight Bias: A By-product of Knowledge Updating
99-32	Ralph Hertwig Ulrich Hoffrage	Begrenzte Rationalität: Die Alternative zu Laplace'schen und schlechter Software
99-31	Raimond Maurer Ulrich Hoffrage	An Expected Utility Approach to Probabilistic Insurance: A Comment on Wakker, Thaler and Tversky (1997)
99-30	Henning Plessner Susanne Haberstroh Tilmann Betsch	The effects of affect-based attitudes on judgment and decision making
99-29	Tilmann Betsch Andreas Glöckner Susanne Haberstroh	A Micro-World Simulation to Study Routine Maintenance and Deviation in Repeated Decision Making
99-28	Jan Walliser Joachim Winter	Tax incentives, bequest motives and the demand for life insurance: evidence from Germany
99-27	Joachim Winter	Ökonometrische Analyse diskreter dynamischer Entscheidungsprozesse
99-26	Gerd Bohner Dagmar Stahlberg Dieter Frey	Einstellungen
99-25	Ulrich Hoffrage Martin Weber Ralph Hertwig Valerie Chase	How to keep children safe in traffic: Find the daredevils while they are young.
99-24	Elke Kurz Gerd Gigerenzer Ulrich Hoffrage	Representations of uncertainty and change: Three case studies with experts
99-23	Stefan Krauss Laura Martignon Ulrich Hoffrage	Simplifying Bayesian Inference: The General Case

SONDERFORSCHUNGSBereich 504 WORKING PAPER SERIES

Nr.	Author	Title
99-22	Ulrich Hoffrage Ralph Hertwig	Hindsight Bias: A Price Worth Paying for Fast and Frugal Memory
99-21	Ulrich Hoffrage	Irren ist wahrscheinlich. Medizinische Experten und Laien bewerten Risiken oft falsch.
99-20	Claudia Keser Jean-Louis Rullière Marie-Claire Villeval	Union Bargaining Strength as a Public Good: Experimental Evidence
99-19	Rüdiger F. Pohl Dagmar Stahlberg Dieter Frey	I'm not trying to impress you, but I surely knew it all along! Self-presentation and hindsight bias
99-18	Dagmar Stahlberg Lars-Eric Petersen Dirk Dauheimer	Preferences for and Evaluation on Self-Relevant Information Depending on the Elaboration of the Self-Schemata Involved
99-17	Rob Euwals	Do mandatory pensions decrease household savings? Evidence for the Netherlands.
99-16	Roman Inderst	A Note on the Strategic Foundation of Competitive Equilibrium in Buyer Markets
99-15	Michael Adam Raimond Maurer	An Empirical Test of Risk-Adjusted Performance of Call Option Writing and Put Option Buying Hedge-Strategies
99-14	Annette Reil-Held Reinhold Schnabel	Vom Arbeitsmarkt in den Ruhestand: Die Einkommen deutscher Rentner und Rentnerinnen
99-13	Peter Walgenbach	Das Konzept der Vertrauensorganisation - Eine theoriegeleitete Betrachtung
99-12	Herbert Bless Michaela Wänke	Can the same information be typical and atypical? How perceived typicality moderates assimilation and contrast in evaluative judgements
99-11	Eric Igou Herbert Bless Wolfram Schenck	Stärkere Framing Effekte durch mehr Nachdenken? Einflüsse der Bearbeitungszeit auf Lösungen des "Asian-disease"-Problems

SONDERFORSCHUNGSBereich 504 WORKING PAPER SERIES

Nr.	Author	Title
99-10	Dirk Dauheimer Dagmar Stahlberg Sandra Spreemann Constantine Sedikides	Self-Enhancement, Self-Verification, or Self-Assessment? The Intricate Role of Trait Modifiability in the Self-Evaluation Process
99-09	Cornelia Hegele Peter Walgenbach	Was kann der Apfel von der Birne lernen, oder wozu brauchen Unternehmen Benchmarking?
99-08	Michaela Wänke	Assimilation and Contrast as a Function of the direction of Comparison
99-07	Michael Woywode	Ein lerntheoretisches Modell zur Erklärung der Unternehmensentwicklung
99-06	Tilmann Betsch Susanne Haberstroh Andreas Glöckner Klaus Fiedler	The Pros and Cons of Expertise: Routine Strength and Adaptation in Recurrent Acquisition and Disposal Decisions
99-05	Ulrich Koch	Regeländerungsprozesse und organisatorisches Lernen: Zum Übergang individueller Erfahrungen in eine organisationale Wissensbasis
99-04	Alfred Kieser Ulrich Koch Michael Woywode	Wie man Bürokratie das Lernen beibringt
99-03	Joachim Winter	Strukturelle ökonomische Verfahren zur Analyse von Renteneintrittsentscheidungen
99-02	Axel Börsch-Supan Annette Reil-Held Ralf Rodepeter Reinhold Schnabel Joachim Winter	Ersparnisbildung in Deutschland: Maßkonzepte und Ergebnisse auf Basis der EVS
99-01	Office SFB504	Jahresbericht 1998
98-61	Siegfried K. Berninghaus Karl-Martin Ehrhart	Long-run Evolution of Local Interaction Structures in Games

SONDERFORSCHUNGSBereich 504 WORKING PAPER SERIES

Nr.	Author	Title
98-60	Isabel Gödde Reinhold Schnabel	Does Family Background Matter? - Returns to Education and Family Characteristics in Germany
98-59	Holger M. Müller	Why Tender Offers Should be Financed with Debt
98-58	Ralf Rodepeter Joachim Winter	Savings decisions under life-time and earnings uncertainty:
98-57	Thomas Langer Martin Weber	Entscheidungsanalyse
98-56	Reinhold Schnabel	Rates of Return of the German Pay-As-You-Go Pension System
98-55	Raimond Maurer Steffen Sebastian	Immobilienfonds und Immobilienaktiengesellschaften als finanzwirtschaftliche Substitute für Immobiliendirektanlagen
98-54	Michaela Wänke Herbert Bless Eric Igou	Next to a star: Paling, shining or both? Turning inter-exemplar contrast into inter-exemplar assimilation
98-53	Gerd Gigerenzer Laura Martignon Ulrich Hoffrage Joerg Rieskamp Jean Czerlinski Dan G. Goldstein	One-reason decision making.
98-52	Gerd Gigerenzer Ralph Hertwig Ulrich Hoffrage Peter Sedlmeier	Cognitive illusions reconsidered
98-51	Gerd Gigerenzer Ulrich Hoffrage	Overcoming Difficulties in Bayesian Reasoning: A Reply to Lewis & Keren and Mellers & McGraw
98-50	Roman Inderst	Signaling in a Search Market
98-49	Paul Povel Michael Raith	Liquidity Constraints, Production Costs and Output Decisions

SONDERFORSCHUNGSBereich 504 WORKING PAPER SERIES

Nr.	Author	Title
98-48	Joachim Winter	Does Firms' Financial Status Affect Plant-Level Investment and Exit Decision
98-47	Michele Bernasconi Oliver Kirchkamp	Why monetary policy matters — An experimental study of saving, inflation and monetary policies in an overlapping generations model
98-46	Oliver Kirchkamp	Simultaneous Evolution of Learning Rules and Strategies
98-45	Martin Weber Jan Pieter Krahen Frank Voßmann	Risikomessung im Kreditgeschäft: Eine empirische Analyse bankinterner Ratingverfahren
98-44	Axel Börsch-Supan	Anreizprobleme in der Renten- und Krankenversicherung
98-43	Martin Hellwig	On the Economics and Politics of Corporate Finance and Corporate Control
98-42	Axel Börsch-Supan	Demographie, Entwicklung und Stabilität der Sozialversicherung in Deutschland
98-41	Axel Börsch-Supan	Zur deutschen Diskussion eines Übergangs vom Umlage- zum Kapitaldeckungsverfahren in der Gesetzlichen Rentenversicherung
98-40	Axel Börsch-Supan	A Model under Siege: A Case Study of the Germany Retirement Insurance System
98-39	Martin Hellwig	Financial Intermediation with Risk Aversion
98-38	Martin Hellwig	Risk Aversion and Incentive Compatibility with Ex Post Information Asymmetry
98-37	Roman Inderst Christian Pfeil	Duopolistic Competition in Search Markets
98-36	Roman Inderst	Incentives Schemes as a Signaling Device
98-35	Roman Inderst	Multi-Issue Bargaining with Endogenous Agenda
98-34	Roman Inderst	Competition Drives Up Prices

SONDERFORSCHUNGSBereich 504 WORKING PAPER SERIES

Nr.	Author	Title
98-33	Roman Inderst	A Note on the Limited Value of Time for Screening
98-32	Roman Inderst	Screening With Endogenous Reservation Values
98-31	Paul Povel	optimal bankruptcy laws
98-30	Martin Hellwig	Systemische Risiken im Finanzsektor
98-29	Axel Börsch-Supan	Incentive Effects of Social Security on Labor Force Participation: Evidence in Germany and Across Europe
98-22	Phillipe Jehiel Benny Moldovanu	Efficient Design with Interdependent Valuations
98-21	Benny Moldovanu Aner Sela	Patent Licensing to Bertrand Competitors
98-20	Alfred Kieser	How Management Science, Consultancies and Business Companies (Do not) Learn from Each Other. Applying Concepts of Learning to Different Types of Organizations and to Interorganizational Learning
98-16	Tilmann Betsch Babette Brinkmann Klaus Fiedler Katja Breining	When prior knowledge overrules new evidence: Adaptive use of decision strategies and role behavioral routines
98-15	Klaus Fiedler	Illusory Correlations: Explicating and Stimulating Their Apparent and Less Apparent Origins
98-14	Klaus Fiedler Babette Brinkmann Tilmann Betsch Beate Wild	A Sampling Approach to Biases in Conditional Probability Judgments: Beyond Base-rate-Neglect and Statistical Format
98-13	Tilmann Betsch Stefan Krauss	Eine Kritik an der klassischen Framing - Studie, eine konzeptuelle Replikation und eine Bewertung der Prospect Theory.

SONDERFORSCHUNGSBereich 504 WORKING PAPER SERIES

Nr.	Author	Title
98-12	Siegfried K. Berninghaus Karl-Martin Ehrhart Claudia Keser	Conventions and Local Interaction Structures: Experimental Evidence
98-11	Michael Kilka Martin Weber	What Determines the Shape of the Probability Weighting Function under Uncertainty?
98-10	Tilmann Betsch Frank Siebler Peter Marz Stefan Hormuth Dorothee Dickenberger	The moderating role of category salience and category focus in judgments of set size and frequency of occurrence.
98-08	Peter Albrecht	Alterssicherung und Vorsorgebedarf im Spannungsfeld von Versicherungs- und Investmentprodukten
98-07	Axel Börsch-Supan Annette Reil-Held Reinhold Schnabel	Pension Provision in Germany
98-06	Martin Hellwig Klaus M. Schmidt	Discrete-Time Approximations of the Holmström-Milgrom Brownian-Motion, Model of Intertemporal Incentive Provision
98-05	Tilmann Betsch G. - M. Biel C. Eddelbuettel A. Mock	Natural sampling and base-rate neglect
98-04	Martin Hellwig	Allowing for Risk Choices in Diamond's "Financial Intermediation as Delegated Monitoring"
98-03	Martin Weber Lukas Mangelsdorff	Hindsight-Bias im Prinzipal-Agent-Kontext: Die Aktennotiz als Antwort?
98-02	Alfred Kieser Nikolaus Beck Risto Tainio	Limited Rationality, Formal Organizational Rules, and Organizational Learning (OL)
98-01	Office SFB504	Sonderforschungsbereich 504 Jahresbericht 1998

SONDERFORSCHUNGSBereich 504 WORKING PAPER SERIES

Nr.	Author	Title
97-44	Raimond Maurer Michael Adam	Analytische Evaluation des Risiko-Chance-Profiles kombinierter Aktien- und Optionsstrategien
97-43	Holger M. Müller	The Mirrlees-Problem Revisited
97-42	Annette Reil-Held	Bequests and Aggregate Wealth Accumulation in Germany
97-41	Axel Börsch-Supan	Übergangsmoedelle vom Umlage - zum Kapitaldeckungsverfahren in der deutschen Rentenversicherung
97-40	Siegfried K. Berninghaus Karl-Martin Ehrhart Claudia Keser	The invisible hand: Experiments on strategy selection in population games
97-39	Axel Börsch-Supan Annette Reil-Held	Retirement Income: Level, Risk, and Substitution Among Income Components
97-38	Holger M. Müller	The First-Best Sharing Rule in the Continuous-Time Principal-Agent Problem with Exponential Utility
97-37	Holger M. Müller	Randomization in Dynamic Principal-Agent Problems
97-36	Gyöngyi Bugár Raimond Maurer	International Portfolio Diversification for European countries: The viewpoint of Hungarian and German investors
97-35	Martin Hellwig	Banks, Markets, and the Allocation of Risks in an Economy
97-34	Nikolaus Beck Alfred Kieser	Standard Operating Procedures and Organizational Learning
97-33	Thomas Langer Peter Waller	Implementing Behavioral Concepts into Banking Theory: The Impact of Loss Aversion on Collateralization
97-32	Guenther Franke Martin Weber	Risk-Value Efficient Portfolios and Asset Pricing

SONDERFORSCHUNGSBereich 504 WORKING PAPER SERIES

Nr.	Author	Title
97-31	Axel Börsch-Supan	Das deutsche Rentenversicherungssystem: Probleme und Perspektiven
97-30	Claudia Keser Marc Willinger	Principals
97-29	Siegfried K. Berninghaus Karl-Martin Ehrhart Claudia Keser	Coordination Games: Recent Experimental Results
97-28	Peter Albrecht	A Stochastic Approach for the Quantification of Default Risk of OTC-Financial Derivatives
97-27	Dagmar Stahlberg A. Maass	Hindsight bias: Impaired memory or biased reconstruction?
97-26	Manfred Hassebrauck Cornelia Vogt Michael Diehl	Das "prototype matching"-Modell des Entscheidungsverhaltens: Darstellung des Modells und erste Ergebnisse
97-24	Claudia Keser	SUPER: Strategies used in public goods experimentation rounds
97-23	Axel Börsch-Supan	Germany: A social security system on the verge of collapse
97-22	Axel Börsch-Supan	Privatisierungsmöglichkeiten der Sozialversicherung in Europa
97-21	Axel Börsch-Supan	Capital productivity and the nature of competition
97-20	Axel Börsch-Supan Reinhold Schnabel	Social security and retirement in Germany
97-19	Raimond Maurer	Ertrag und Shortfall Risiko von Wertsicherungsstrategien mit Optionen unter alternativen Zielrenditen: Empirische Evidenzen für den deutschen Aktienmarkt
97-18	Peter Albrecht	Risk based capital allocation and risk adjusted performance management in property/liability-insurance: A risk theoretical framework

SONDERFORSCHUNGSBereich 504 WORKING PAPER SERIES

Nr.	Author	Title
97-17	Peter Albrecht Raimond Maurer Matthias Möller	Shortfall-Risiko/Excess-Chance- Entscheidungskalküle: Grundlagen und Beziehungen zum Bernoulli-Prinzip
97-16	Claudia Keser Karl-Martin Ehrhart Siegfried K. Berninghaus	Coordination and local interaction: Experimental evidence
97-15	Herbert Bless Tilmann Betsch Axel Franzen	Framing the framing effect: The impact of context cues on solutions to the "asian disease" problem
97-14	Michael Kilka Martin Weber	Home Bias in International Stock Return Expectation
97-13	Jan Vleugels	Bidding against an unknown number of competitors sharing affiliated information
97-12	Dov Monderer Aner Sela	Fictitious play and- no-cycling conditions
97-11	S. Hon-Suir Dov Monderer Aner Sela	A learning approach to auctions
97-10	Karl H. Schlag Aner Sela	You play (an auction) only once
97-09	Aner Sela	One against all in the fictitious play process
97-08	Benny Moldovanu	William Vickrey und die Auktionstheorie - Anmerkungen zum Nobelpreis 1996
97-07	M. Tietzel Benny Moldovanu	Goethe
97-06	Phillipe Jehiel Benny Moldovanu	Auctions with Downstream Interaction among Buyers
97-05	Phillipe Jehiel Benny Moldovanu	Resale Markets and the Assignment of Property Rights

SONDERFORSCHUNGSBereich 504 WORKING PAPER SERIES

Nr.	Author	Title
97-04	Phillipe Jehiel Benny Moldovanu E. Stacchetti	Multidimensional Mechanism Design for Auctions with Externalities
97-03	Karsten Fieseler	Bidding for unit-price contracts - How craftsmen should bid
97-02	Martin Hellwig	Unternehmensfinanzierung, Unternehmenskontrolle und Ressourcenallokation: Was leistet das Finanzsystem?
97-01	Ralf Rodepeter Daniel Schunk	Identifikation von Sparprofilen im Lebenszyklus The Pennsylvania Reemployment Bonus Experiments: How a survival model helps in the analysis of the data
	Volker Stocké	Measuring Information Accessibility and Predicting Response-Effects: The Validity of Response-Certainties and Response-Latencies
	Volker Stocké Bettina Langfeldt	Umfrageeinstellung und Umfrageerfahrung. Die relative Bedeutung unterschiedlicher Aspekte der Interviewerfahrung für die generalisierte Umfrageeinstellung